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Abstract

Using density functional theory (DFT), the structural, elastic, electronic, and thermodynamic properties of Fe$_2$Hf in the cubic and hexagonal solid phases with Fd-3m and P63/mmc are reported with generalized gradient approximations (GGA). To achieve energy convergence, we report the k-point mesh density and plane-wave energy cut-offs. The calculated equilibrium parameters are in good agreement with the available theoretical data. A complete elastic tensor and crystal anisotropies of the ultra-incompressible Fe$_2$Hf are determined in the wide pressure range. Finally, by using the quasi-harmonic Debye Model, the isothermal and adiabatic bulk modulus and heat capacity of Fe$_2$Hf are also successfully obtained in the present work. By the elastic stability criteria, it is predicted that Fd-3m and P63/mmc structures of Fe$_2$Hf are stable in the pressure range studied, respectively.
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Introduction

Up to now, Fe₂Hf structures are not still synthesized in crystalline form. We have proposed two structures of cubic and hexagonal type structures. Elastic constants under pressure play an important role in determining the strength and hardness of materials. They are very important to determine the response of the crystal to external forces, as characterized by the bulk and shear modulus. The elastic properties of two cubic and hexagonal structures are also explored. However, to our knowledge, no works have reported on the elastic, structural, and thermodynamic properties of hexagonal structures of Fe₂Hf under pressures. Koki Ikeda et al. [1] studied the crystal structures and magnetic properties of the stoichiometric Laves phase in the iron hafnium system by X-ray powder techniques and magnetization measurements. They concluded that the hexagonal MgZn₂-type Fe₂Hf compound occurs above 1673 K, and the cubic MgCu₂-type exists below 1273 K.

S. Kobayashi et al. [2-3] have observed periodically arrayed rows of fine Fe₂Hf Laves phase particles formed in 9 % chromium ferritic matrix and propose a new strategy for controlling precipitation of Laves phase for further improving the long term creep resistance of ferritic steels. J. Belosevic-Cavor et al. [4] studied the magnetic properties, Mossbauer Effect and first principle calculations of laves phase Fe₂Hf with C14 type structure. Hexagonal lattice was found for Fe₂Hf by Masao Takeyama [5] with space group P63/mmc (N°194). Fe₂Hf has a C15 cubic structure of MgCu₂ type which exists in a narrow composition range around the stoichiometry. Additionally, it crystallizes in MgZn₂ (C14) hexagonal structure type, and the hexagonal phase appears in addition to the predominant cubic phase. Our primary aim was, therefore, to present the results of a theoretical investigation of the structural and thermodynamic of (c, h)-Fe₂Hf. The c-Fe₂Hf (h-Fe₂Hf) structures are cubic (hexagonal) with space group 227, Fd-3m with Cu₃Mg-type (194, P63/mmc with Zn₃Mg-type), non-equivalent atoms are Fe in (0.625, 0.625, 0.625); and Hf in (0, 0, 0); (a=6.882Å) (Fe₁ in (0, 0, 0); Fe₂ in (0.8, 0.6, 0.25) and Hf in (1/3, 2/3, 0.06); (a=b= 4.968Å, c=8.098Å)) with one (two) for c-Fe₂Hf (h-Fe₂Hf) formula units per unit cell.

Computational details

CASTEP was the first-principles plane-wave pseudo-potential method based on the density functional theory, the crystal wave functions launched by Plane Wavelet Group using periodic boundary conditions, in which the exchange-correlation potential adopted GGA. Without taking into account the spin polarized, we must first investigate and examine the convergence of calculated total energies with respect to the plane wave cut-off E_cut in the cubic and hexagonal solid phases of the two molecular systems to be 380eV (385eV) for c-Fe₂Hf (h-Fe₂Hf) Fig.1 (a) and (b) respectively. The number of points can be arbitrarily increased to increase the precision of calculations but this increases the computational cost.
Structural properties

In this work, we focus on structural, elastic, electronic, and thermodynamic properties of Fe$_2$Hf with cubic (hexagonal) structures under pressures up to 10GPa (15GPa) by first-principles calculations. The elastic properties of two cubic and hexagonal structures of Fe$_2$Hf under pressure are investigated for the first time, from which the mechanical stability is also determined. In order to further investigate Fe$_2$Hf the thermodynamic properties, such as the heat capacity, thermal expansion, Debye temperature, and so on, are obtained by Debye model. However, it is difficult to study experimentally because of the extremely small sizes and time scales at which the functional properties appear. Theoretical modeling, on the other hand, offers a way to overcome these difficulties through "virtual experiments" that can enable us to quickly, efficiently, and affordably explore phase space. Density functional theory (DFT) is one of the most successful quantum mechanical theory based tools to characterize properties of materials, and it is widely used in quantum computation in condensed matter physics [6-8]. DFT is a general-purpose computational method and can be applied to most...
systems. Although density functional theory is more accurate and exact in theory, its implementation requires several approximations such as the choice of basis-set, exchange-correlation [9-11] functional, mesh-size for Brillouin zone [12-14] for plane-wave basis.

The plane wave cut-off is the parameter that controls such truncation, so the more include plane waves; the better the wave function is modeled. The k-point mesh controls the BZ integration, can play a huge role in the quality of the results, and heavily depends on the number of these points on the mesh-grid, especially for metals. The plane wave basis set in the DFT wave function is expanded in terms of a: due to its periodicity and ease of use. Each function \( u_i(k(r)) \) is expressed as a Fourier series whose basis states are plane waves whose wave vector is a reciprocal lattice vector G (which are defined by \( e^{iG \cdot R} = 1 \)).

The DFT wave function is expanded in terms of a plane wave basis set:

\[
\psi(r) = \sum_G C_G e^{i(G + k) \cdot r}
\]

where, \( G \) is the reciprocal wave vector, \( k \) is k-point vector, \( C_G \) are the expansion coefficients. There is an infinite number of allowed \( G \), but the coefficient \( C_G \) becomes smaller and smaller as \( G^2 \) becomes larger and larger. The cut-off energy \( E_{\text{cut}} \) is defined [15] as:

\[
E_{\text{cut}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} |G_{\text{cut}}|^2
\]

\[
|G + K| < E_{\text{cut}}
\]

Any standard DFT code such as CASTEP uses the total energy convergence rather than energy per atom during an SCF procedure. Fig.1; represents total energy as a function of the number of k-points. The convergence has been achieved in the k-points sampling of 1x1x1 for total energy convergence tolerance (1.0 \( 10^{-6} \) eV/atom).

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. The computational method is described in section 2. The results and some discussions of structural, elastic, and thermodynamic properties of Fe\(_2\)Hf under pressure are presented and compared with available experimental and theoretical data in section 3. Conclusions derived from our calculations are drawn in section 4. Secondly, we examine the convergence of the calculated number of points of our computed compounds that are 6x6x6 of c-Fe\(_2\)Hf and h-Fe\(_2\)Hf. Fig. 3. In Fig. 4, we show the plot of energy versus volume of Fe\(_2\)Hf in cubic (a) and hexagonal (b) structures. The density functional calculations on the structural, elastic, and thermodynamic properties of cubic and hexagonal structures of Fe\(_2\)Hf were performed using the CASTEP code [16,17]. Both lattices were optimized to get the equilibrium structure for cubic and hexagonal structures of Fe\(_2\)Hf. The exchange-correlation function was treated by both the generalized gradient approximation (GGA-PBE) [18]. Also, the pseudo potentials constructed using the \textit{ab initio} ultra-soft scheme to describe the valence electron interaction with the atomic core, in which the Fe (3d\(^{6}\)s\(^2\)) and Hf (4f\(^{14}\)5d\(^{2}\)6s\(^2\)) orbital are treated as valence electrons. The Brillouin zone sampling was carried out using the 6x6x6 for cubic and hexagonal structures of Fe\(_2\)Hf set of Monkhorst-Pack mesh [13]. The structures were optimized using the Broyden-
Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shenno (BFGS) minimization technique in order to achieve the most stable structure in their local area. Self-consistent convergence condition setting: the total energy was less than 0.2 eV/atom, the force in each atom was less than 0.05eV/Å, the offset tolerance was less than 0.0002 Å, and the Stress bias was less than 0.1GPa. For comparison and as shown in Table 1, it should be noted that the calculated total energies of cubic and hexagonal (c-h)- Fe₂Hf structures are with a negative value corresponding to an exothermic reaction. The total energies show that the hexagonal structures are more stable than cubic ones.

**Table 1.** The calculated lattice constant (Å), volumes (Å³), bulk modulus, elastic constants, shear modulus, Young’s modulus, B/G ratio, density, sound velocities, and Debye temperature for (c-h)- Fe₂Hf structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cubic structure</th>
<th>Hexagonal structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cuttofenegey (eV)</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KxKxK</td>
<td>6x6x6</td>
<td>6x6x6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy (eV)</td>
<td>-4280.10</td>
<td>-8560.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a(Å)</td>
<td>4.883</td>
<td>4.858 (4.968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b(Å)</td>
<td>4.883</td>
<td>4.858(4.968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c(Å)</td>
<td>4.883</td>
<td>8.042(8.098)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (Å³)</td>
<td>82.340</td>
<td>164.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(GPa)</td>
<td>218.90</td>
<td>222.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁₁</td>
<td>316.256</td>
<td>358.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁₂</td>
<td>170.230</td>
<td>180.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄₄</td>
<td>96.075</td>
<td>91.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃₃</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>347.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁₃</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>146.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₇</td>
<td>86.075</td>
<td>93.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>11.0766</td>
<td>11.7201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v₁(m/s)</td>
<td>5488.5230</td>
<td>5447.6170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v₂(m/s)</td>
<td>2787.6312</td>
<td>2827.834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v₃(m/s)</td>
<td>3123.573</td>
<td>3164.9355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Θ₉ (K)</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>230.119</td>
<td>246.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν</td>
<td>0.32479</td>
<td>0.31557</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. Energy versus $E_{\text{cut}}$ in cubic (a) and hexagonal (b) structure.
Fig. 3. Energy versus number of k points of our computed compounds.

Fig. 4. Energy versus volume for Fe$_2$Hf in cubic (a) and hexagonal (b) structure.
Elastic constants

By means of a Taylor series expansion of the total energy, $E(V, \delta)$, the elastic constants are defined for the system compared to a small deformation $\delta$ of the lattice unit cell volume $V$. The energy of system constraint is expressed as follows [19]:

$$E(V, \delta) = E(V_0, 0) + V_0\left[\sum_i \tau_i \zeta_i \delta_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} C_{ij} \delta_i \delta_j \right]$$

Where $E(V_0, 0)$ is the energy of the unstrained system with equilibrium volume $V_0$, $\tau_i$ is an element in the stress tensor, and $\zeta_i$ is a factor to take care of the Voigt index. Between 21 and 2 independent elastic constants, corresponds respectively to asymmetric material and isotropic material, the number of independent elastic constants is 3 for cubic and 5 for hexagonal crystals. These three independent elastic constants are usually referred to as $C_{11}$, $C_{44}$, and $C_{12}$ for cubic and $C_{11}$, $C_{33}$, $C_{44}$, $C_{12}$, and $C_{13}$ for hexagonal crystals. A theoretical treatment of the elasticity of hexagonal systems is thus considerably more involved than for cubic structure, which has three independent elastic constants. The elastic constants of solids provide a link between mechanical and dynamical behaviours of crystals and give important information concerning the nature of forces operating in solids. In particular, they provide information on the stability and stiffness of materials. It is well known that first-order and second-order derivatives of the potential give forces and elastic constants. Therefore, it is an important issue to check the accuracy of the calculations for forces and elastic constants. Let us recall here that the pressure effect upon elastic constants is essential, at least for understanding interatomic interactions, mechanical stability, and phase transition mechanism. The corresponding bulk moduli are determined as a function of pressure up to 50 GPa for cubic and hexagonal Fe$_2$Hf structures. Let us notice that all elastic constants, as well as both bulk moduli, linearly increase when pressure is enhanced Fig. 5. The bulk modulus was obtained from a calculation of the three cubic elastic constants $C_{11}$, $C_{12}$, and $C_{44}$ using the expression:

$$B = (C_{11} + 2C_{12})/3$$

The calculated bulk value with the second method is agreeable with the bulk modulus, which is deducted from the first method. For Fe$_2$Hf in, a cubic crystal, the generalized elastic stability criteria in terms of elastic constants [20]:

$$(C_{11} + 2C_{12})/3 > 0 \quad C_{44} > 0$$

$$(C_{11} - C_{12})/2 > 0$$

For Fe$_2$Hf in a hexagonal crystal, let us recall that the generalized elastic stability criteria [21] are:

$$C_{11} > 0, C_{33} > 0, C_{44} > 0, C_{66} > 0, C_{11} - C_{12} > 0, C_{11} + C_{33} + C_{12} > 0,$$

$$(C_{11} + C_{12})C_{33} - 2C_{13}^2 > 0$$
The fact that the elastic constants of \((\text{Fe}_2\text{Hf})\) in the cubic [hexagonal] crystal do not obey all the above criteria indicates that it is an elastically unstable [stable] structure. For \(\text{Fe}_2\text{Hf}\) with hexagonal structure, the shear anisotropy factor \(A(C_{ij})\), defined as

\[
A = \frac{4C_{44}(C_{11} + C_{33} - 2C_{13})}{C_{11} + C_{33} - 2C_{13}} = 0.88
\]

for the \([100]\) shear planes between the \(<011>\) and \(<010>\) directions, [22] as well as the ratio between linear compressibility coefficients for hexagonal crystals, i.e. \(k/k_a = (C_{11} + C_{12} - 2C_{13})/(C_{33} - C_{13}) = 1.22\).

For hexagonal structures, bulk modulus \(B\) and shear modulus \(G\) are obtained as follows:

\[
B = \frac{2}{9}\left( C_{11} + C_{12} + 2C_{13} + \frac{1}{2}C_{33} \right)
\]

\[
G = \left\{ C_{44} \left[ C_{44}(C_{11} - C_{12})/2 \right]^{1/2} \right\}^{1/2}
\]

In addition, Young’s modulus \(E\) can be obtained according to Cline et al. [23]:

\[
E = \frac{[C_{33}(C_{11} + C_{12}) - 2C_{13}^2](C_{11} - C_{12})}{C_{11}C_{33} - C_{13}^2}
\]

Whereas anisotropy value \(A\) and Poisson ratio are expressed as:

\[
A = \frac{2C_{44}}{C_{11} - C_{12}}
\]

\[
\nu = \frac{C_{12}C_{33} - C_{13}^2}{C_{11}C_{33} - C_{13}^2}
\]
Fig. 5. The elastic constants of the cubic and hexagonal structures of Fe₂Hf compound under pressure up to 50 GPa at 0 K.

We can conclude that the bulk modulus is 219 GPa for cubic Fe₂Hf and 222 GPa for hexagonal one. All values are exceptionally high, exceeding or matching other hard materials, including boron carbide (B₄C, 200 GPa), silicon carbide (SiC, 248 GPa), sapphire (Al₂O₃, 252 GPa), and cubic boron nitride (c-BN, 367 GPa) [24]. Pugh [25] proposed the B/G ratio to represent a measure of a “machine able behavior”. A high B/G (2.44 for hexagonal Fe₂Hf type structure) value is then associated with ductility and a low value with brittleness. The critical value which separates ductile and brittle behaviors is at about 1.75. For example, diamond has a B/G of 0.80 [26], while aluminum, cobalt, rhodium, and iridium present B/G ratios of 2.74, 2.43, 1.77, and 1.74, respectively [25]. The B/G calculated ratios for the hexagonal structure of Fe₂Hf compound, we obtain is of 2.44. The fact of Fe₂Hf compounds is ductile compound. Additionally, the mechanical stability of the hexagonal structure at 0 GPa can be predicted from the elastic constants data (C₁₁ – C₁₂ > 0). To be complete, the elastic constants of pure Fe₂Hf in cubic and hexagonal structures are listed in Table 1. The Debye temperature may be estimated from the average sound velocity \( V_m \) [26].

\[
\Theta = \frac{h}{k} \left[ \frac{3n}{4\pi} \left( \frac{N_A \rho}{M} \right) \right]^{1/3} V_m
\]

where \( h \) is Planck’s constants, \( k \) is Boltzman’s constant, \( N_A \) is Avogadro’s number, \( n \) is the number of atoms per formula unit, \( M \) is the molecular mass per formula unit, \( \rho \) is the density, and \( V_m \) is obtained from [27].

\[
V_m = \left[ \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{2}{V_s^3} + \frac{1}{V_l^3} \right) \right]^{-1/3}
\]
where $V_s$ and $V_l$ are the shear and longitudinal sound velocities, respectively.

The arithmetic average of the Voigt and the Reuss bounds is called the Voigt–Reuss–Hill (VRH) average and is commonly used to estimate elastic moduli of polycrystals. The VRH averages for shear modulus ($G$) and bulk modulus ($B$) are:

$$ G = \frac{1}{2} \left( G_R + G_V \right), \quad B = \frac{1}{2} \left( B_R + B_V \right) $$
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The polycrystalline moduli are the arithmetic mean values of the Voigt and Reuss moduli [28]:

$$ G_H = \frac{1}{2} \left( G_R + G_V \right), \quad B_H = \frac{1}{2} \left( B_R + B_V \right) $$
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Therefore, the probable values of the average shear and longitudinal sound velocities can be calculated from Navier’s equation [29]:

$$ V_S = \sqrt{\frac{G_H}{\rho}}, \quad V_L = \sqrt{\frac{(B_H + \frac{4}{3}G_H)}{\rho}} $$

18

The longitudinal, transverse and average sound velocities and Debye temperature of cubic and hexagonal of Fe$_2$Hf in cubic and hexagonal structures have been calculated and listed in the Table 1.

At zero pressure and zero temperature, we obtain, as shown in Table 1, we have calculated the sound velocities and Debye temperature for the Fe$_2$Hf compounds from our elastic constants. Our calculated sound velocities and Debye temperature are comparable to the experimental values.

**Electronic structure**

In this part, the electronic properties of Fe$_2$Hf in a cubic and hexagonal structure are discussed. Fig. 6 shows the total density of states (DOS) for our compound in cubic and hexagonal structures at equilibrium lattice constants. We show here only the vicinity of the Fermi energy level. Fe$_2$Hf in cubic [hexagonal] structure have similar DOS profiles in the whole energy region except for some differences. On the other hand, near the Fermi level, the DOS mainly originates from the M-$d$ bands M (Fe$_2$Hf) in cubic and hexagonal structure, this suggests that our compounds are all conductive, and the $d$ bands of the transition metal play the dominant role in electrical transport, this phenomenon is very pronounced especially in the hexagonal structure. The DOS at the Fermi level $n(E_F)$ is calculated to be 10.69 states/eV unit cell for cubic Fe$_2$Hf and 20.11 eV for hexagonal of Fe$_2$Hf in cubic and hexagonal structure indicating the metallic material. Generally speaking, the smaller $n(E_F)$ is, the more stable the compound is. So in agreement with the results obtained from the total energy minimum.
Fig. 6. The total electronic density of state for Fe$_2$Hf in cubic and hexagonal structures.
Thermodynamic properties

A study of the effects of chemistry and crystal structure must be performed for motors with operating temperatures in the region of 2000 °C that will require materials that can withstand. Intermetallic compounds with high melting temperatures are candidates for these applications. Thermodynamics is one of the great theories on which the current understanding of the material is based; thermodynamics is mainly based on temperature and entropy, which is the degree of disorganization of the material. Physical properties under pressures and temperatures have important meanings to accelerate the understanding and synthesis of (c, h)-Fe₂Hf structures. The investigation of the thermal capacity of crystals is an interesting subject in solid state physics because it enters many applications and provides essential information on its vibratory properties. According to the standard theory of elastic continuum, two limiting cases are correctly predicted. At sufficiently low temperatures, the thermal capacity \( C_V \) is proportional to \( T^3 \). At high temperatures, \( C_V \) tends to the limit Petit and Dulong. Applying the quasi-harmonic Debye model to the (c, h)-Fe₂Hf structures, we calculated the thermal capacity of the \( C_V \), network and the Debye temperature \( \theta \) at different temperatures. Now we investigate the dependences of bulk modulus \( B \) on temperature \( T \) and pressure \( P \). \( B \) is plotted in Fig. 7.

Fig. 7. Variation of bulk modulus with temperature \( T \) at various pressures for c- Fe₂Hf and h- Fe₂Hf (upper panel) respectively. Effect of pressure on bulk modulus at different temperatures for c- Fe₂Hf and h- Fe₂Hf (lower panel), respectively.
Fig. 8. Variation of constant pressure heat capacity $C_P$ with temperature $T$ at various pressures for $c$- Fe$_2$Hf and $h$- Fe$_2$Hf (upper panel) respectively. Effect of pressure on constant pressure heat capacity $C_P$ at different temperatures for $c$- Fe$_2$Hf and $h$- Fe$_2$Hf (lower panel), respectively.

The bulk modulus $B$ decreases with increasing temperature in a quasi-linear manner for both $c$- Fe$_2$Hf and $h$- Fe$_2$Hf structures. The calculated bulk modulus $B_0$ at (T = 0K) is 244.5GPa for $c$- Fe$_2$Hf and 222GPa for $h$- Fe$_2$Hf structures. We can see that the bulk modulus decreases [increases] with temperature (upper panel) [pressure] [lower panel] at a given pressure [temperature] thus for both $c$- Fe$_2$Hf (slowly decreases) and $h$- Fe$_2$Hf structures. The resulting Debye temperature $\Theta$ versus temperature [Pressure] (upper panel) [lower panel] for $c$- Fe$_2$Hf and $h$- Fe$_2$Hf structures shows in Fig. 9. From the quasi-harmonic Debye model, we obtained the Debye temperature $\Theta = 415.5$ K and 458 K at P=0 GPa and T=0 K for respectively $c$- Fe$_2$Hf and $h$- Fe$_2$Hf structures. The heat capacity $C_P$ and $C_V$, represents the heat absorbed by the crystal at constant pressure or constant volume necessary to raise the temperature of one mole of a pure substance by one-degree K generated by this transformation. The heat capacity of a crystal is given by a relation deduced from the vibratory motions of the crystal lattice it is also mandatory for many applications. For solids and liquids, the variation of the PV product...
with the temperature is negligible. Consequently, in the condensed phase, the volume and constant pressure heat capacities have similar values $C_P \sim C_V$ for both $c$-Fe$_2$Hf and $h$-Fe$_2$Hf structures. The calculated specific heats $C_P$ [$C_V$] versus temperature at different pressures for fixed pressures [volumes] (upper panel) for ($c$-$h$)-Fe$_2$Hf, respectively, and $C_P$ [$C_V$] versus pressures at different temperatures (lower panel) are shown in Figs. 8-10. From this figure, one can see the sharp increase of $C_P$ and $C_V$ in the temperature range from 0 up to $\sim 500$ K, and at high temperature, the $C_P$ and $C_V$ tend to a constant value (300 J·mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$) [150 J·mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$] for ($c$-$h$)-Fe$_2$Hf the so-called Dulong-Petit limit of $3n k_B$ value [30]. We can conclude that the volume heat capacity in 300°K is in the range of 120-150 J·mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$ for $c$-Fe$_2$Hf and 250-300 J·mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$ for $h$-Fe$_2$Hf. All values in this range are exceptionally high, exceeding or matching other hard materials, including silicon oxide (SiO$_2$, 71.5 J·mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$), sapphire (Al$_2$O$_3$, 120.5 J·mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$), and (Cr$_2$O$_3$, 125.1 J·mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$) and approaching that of diamond ($B_0 = 442$ GPa).

**Fig. 9.** Variation of Debye temperature with temperature $T$ at various pressures for $c$-Fe$_2$Hf and $h$-Fe$_2$Hf (upper panel) respectively. Effect of pressure on Debye temperature at different temperatures for $c$-Fe$_2$Hf and $h$-Fe$_2$Hf (lower panel), respectively.
The volume expansion coefficient $\alpha_f$ (c, h)-Fe$_2$Hf structures as a function of temperature (upper panel) and pressure (lower panel) is plotted in Fig. 11. At zero pressure and 300 K, $\alpha = 0.41242 \times 10^{-5}$ K$^{-1}$ for c-Fe$_2$Hf and $0.42234 \times 10^{-5}$ K$^{-1}$ for h-Fe$_2$Hf. It is shown that, for a given pressure [temperature], $\alpha$ increases [constant] with temperature [pressure], especially at 0 pressure, and gradually tends to a linear increase at high temperature, for c-Fe$_2$Hf and slightly different for h-Fe$_2$Hf structures. We show in Fig. 12, the entropy function of the temperature at different pressures and of the pressure at different temperatures of the two types of c-Fe$_2$Hf and h-Fe$_2$Hf structures of the compound. We show that the entropy increase parabolically with increasing temperature. We notice that these curves have the same shape except the existence of a slight offset at high temperatures especially for the case of the hexagonal type (upper panel). In the lower panel we show the variation of the entropy function $S$, as a function of the pressures and at different temperatures for the two types of c-Fe$_2$Hf and h-Fe$_2$Hf structures, we notice that these curves are similar and that the entropy function $S$ is significant in the case of the hexagonal type.
Fig. 11. Variation of volume expansion coefficient $\alpha$ with temperature $T$ at various pressures for c-Fe$_2$Hf and h-Fe$_2$Hf (upper panel) respectively. Effect of pressure on volume expansion coefficient $\alpha$ at different temperatures for c-Fe$_2$Hf and h-Fe$_2$Hf (lower panel), respectively.
Fig. 12. Variation of entropy S with temperature T at various pressures for c- Fe$_2$Hf and h- Fe$_2$Hf (upper panel) respectively. Effect of pressure on entropy S at different temperatures for c- Fe$_2$Hf and h- Fe$_2$Hf (lower panel), respectively.

**Conclusion**

In summary, in this work, we theoretically obtain results for structural, elastic, mechanical, electronic, and thermodynamic properties of Fe$_2$Hf in the cubic and hexagonal solid phases, based on the *ab initio* total energy calculations. The estimated lattice constants are in excellent agreement with the available experimental values. The total energies show that the hexagonal structures are more stable than cubic ones. The DOS at the Fermi level $n(E_F)$ is calculated to be 10.69 states/eV unit cell for cubic and 20.11 eV for hexagonal, indicating the metallic material. Generally speaking, the smaller $n(E_F)$ is, the more stable the compound is. So in agreement with the results obtained from the total energy minimum. The analyses of bulk modulus indicate that all values calculated are exceptionally high, exceeding or matching other hard materials, including boron carbide (B$_4$C, 200 GPa), silicon carbide (SiC, 248 GPa), sapphire (Al$_2$O$_3$, 252 GPa), and cubic boron nitride (c-BN, 367 GPa). Unfortunately, for the other computed properties in this work, there are no previous calculations or experimental values to compare with.
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