Artificial Intelligence in Ultrasound Medicine: Technological Innovations, Clinical Integration, and Ethical Challenges

Ibtisam Abdallah Fadulelmulla

Department of Diagnostic Radiology, College of Applied Medical Sciences, University of Ha'il, Hail, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

The application of artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms in clinical practice faces a number of obstacles, despite the fact that AI has shown promise in improving ultrasound diagnosis. In order to highlight important factors for creating and deploying AI solutions in breast cancer imaging, this scoping review attempts to identify these obstacles and enablers. Six databases (PubMed, Web of Science, CINHAL, Embase, IEEE, and ArXiv) were searched for relevant material between 2014 and 2024. Articles that exclusively focused on performance or that used data that was not gathered in a clinical radiology context and did not involve actual patients were removed; instead, articles that described some of the challenges or facilitators in the development or implementation of AI in clinical imaging were included. This study underlines the value of patient-centered design, efficient governance, and interdisciplinary collaboration in guaranteeing that all demographic groups have equal access to innovative AI-enabled ultrasound technology that has been ethically manipulated.

Keywords: AI, Ultrasound, Ethical Issues, 3D imaging, Health Care.

Introduction

High-frequency sound waves are emitted by the ultrasound equipment and bounce off body structures to create images. These waves are captured by a computer to produce visuals. Ultrasound does not employ ionizing radiation, in contrast to CT scans or X-rays. Unlike other imaging methods like MRI or CT scans, ultrasonography is a widely accessible piece of modern diagnostic equipment (Birnholz, 1977).

Ultrasound can sometimes be 100% accurate in diagnosing conditions, including kidney diseases, genitourinary malignancies, and urolithiasis. For instance, larger adenomas and other prostate abnormalities can be found by transrectal ultrasonography. All things considered, ultrasonography is still among the most popular and pleasant diagnostic techniques (Birnholz, 1977).

Early in the 1960s, researchers created a digital computer-based monitoring system (Warner, H.R., 1968), which established the groundwork for the present norm in critical care units worldwide and marked the beginning of the relationship between medicine and computer science. For the past fifty years, there has been a consistent correlation between clinical practice and advancements in computing (Ambinder, E.P. 2005).

Medical software systems have benefited from the growing power of contemporary computers to offer instantaneous answers to complex problems. AI is now successfully tackling complex medical imaging issues, like computer-assisted ultrasonography, by utilizing the cooperation of engineers and doctors, the power of contemporary computer hardware, and the availability of digital medical images (Keane, P.A.; Topol, E.J. 2018; Korean J. Radiol. 2020).

However, there is still a lot more work to be done before deep learning-based solutions are fully used in clinical practice. There is a significant disconnect between proof-of-concept research publications and the actual implementation of machine learning (ML) systems in the real world, according to numerous prominent AI specialists (Topol, E.J. 2019).

The necessity of multidisciplinary cooperation between signal processing engineers, medical specialists, physics specialists, and computer scientists Littmann (M.; Selig, K.; Cohen-Lavi, L.; Frank, Y.; 2020), as well as certain technological constraints of AI algorithms.

1.1. Current Challenges of Ultrasound Imaging

It's possible that portable ultrasound will replace stethoscopes. The safety, portability, non-invasiveness, and affordability of ultrasound imaging make it a unique modality that may be used in a wide range of therapeutic settings. In actuality, ultrasound imaging has emerged as a crucial diagnostic technique for a growing number and variety of clinical disorders, opening up more therapy alternatives. As a result of this circumstance, numerous intricate diagnostic techniques have been developed, and their medical applications have become more standardized.

However, ultrasonic imaging now faces a number of difficulties:
Dependency on operators. Because US imaging relies on the operator's ability to properly position the transducer and interpret the images, it can be challenging to get consistent and trustworthy findings from many operators, necessitating extensive and specialized training.

AI's Effects on the Economy in Medical Imaging

The unrealized benefits and advancements that could have pushed us into a more intelligent and competitive technological landscape are part of the opportunity cost of not fully embracing AI, which goes beyond immediate inefficiencies like lower standards in health sector products and services (Pagallo et al., 2023).

Policymakers have the problem of creating standards to enable the efficient integration and equitable use of AI technology as it advances and alters its application in medical imaging. More solid hybrid knowledge may be produced by the interactions between important players in the healthcare industry, involving both non-humans (like AI technologies) and humans (like doctors and patients). This dynamic may help make the introduction of AI in the health industry more viable (Kannelønning, 2024). However, the workforce's reaction to this expansion in the use of AI in general has been conflicted. At least one distinguished computer scientist made the following early declaration (Lynch, 2017):

Like electricity or the internet, artificial intelligence (AI) is a general-purpose technology that will significantly change our society by promoting economic growth, opening up new opportunities, and altering how we live and work. AI makes a lot of workers uncomfortable. They either doubt its function or aren't convinced of its worth in the larger workplace (Tucci, 2024). The advantages of AI might not be fully exploited if workers lack faith. Note 19 This anxiety is not surprising. When asked how humans and AI might co-evolve, Netflix CEO David Wells made the following observation (Anderson & Raine, 2018).

Technology evolution and improvement has always been accompanied by fear and anxiety, but it has also resulted in huge gains for humanity as we learn to strengthen the best aspects of changes while adapting and altering the worst. Such problems influence the healthcare industry, particularly those involved in medical imaging. Early on, McKinsey & Company (2017, p. 23) proposed that AI would alter the supply chain in health care in four ways, all of which would lower health care costs and improve patient health:

- Optimize hospital operations by automating diagnostic testing for faster and more reliable results.
- Improve cost prediction and reduce patient risk.
- Use virtual agents to assist patients in navigating their medical trip and tailor therapy and medicine compositions accordingly.

More recently, McKinsey & Company (2023, pp. 58-62) evaluated qualitatively the economic benefits of AI in healthcare in the following way:

•Insurers can create new incentives to promote preventative care among providers.
•Doctors will be able to adapt treatments, including medications, to individual patients.
•Virtual agents can be major touchpoints for patients. AI can identify public health hazards and at-risk patients.
• AI can assist medical personnel in diagnosing diseases and improving operations.

Early disease detection and more accurate medical data analysis (GAO, 2022). However, the increased use of AI technology in healthcare has sparked concerns and questions among researchers and healthcare practitioners (Harris, 2023). As previously stated, concerns center on accuracy, security, and privacy (Mökander et al., 2022), as well as assuring the trustworthiness and protection of medical information. As AI systems handle massive volumes of data, including personal, confidential, and sensitive information about patients, there is an increased risk that these data will slip into the wrong hands. The likelihood of such an event raises privacy concerns, necessitating a thorough inspection of each image to identify and eliminate potentially identifiable information (Prevedello et al., 2019).

According to a recent survey conducted by Alexander et al. (2020), one of the most significant impediments to radiologists' adoption of AI in medical imaging is their anxiety regarding AI's diagnostic capabilities in complex patients and conditions. These concerns emphasize potential hazards in AI systems, such as misdiagnosis and the continuation of bias. Unlicensed content in training data, copyright, patent, trademark issues with AI creations, and ownership of AI-generated work are all concerns raised by generative AI (Lorenz et al., 2023). Given these problems, some suggest that laws for the ethical use of AI in medical imaging, patient care, and workforce management are required to protect both patients and healthcare personnel (Mudgal & Das, 2020)
There is an increasing awareness of the importance of regulatory frameworks in the fast emerging field of artificial intelligence. The Act attempts to address possible dangers connected with AI deployment, such as openness, fairness, and accountability (Harris, 2023).

The AIA is now being negotiated in the EU, and the final text of the legislation could affect future EU-US AI alignment or divergence (Mökander et al., 2022). The active development and evaluation of AI technologies in medical imaging, as well as the need for ethical laws in response to potential concerns, illustrate AI's continual progress and application in the healthcare industry. AI has had a favorable impact on job quality, increasing the overall quality of work.
However, potential detrimental effects on employment quality may take some time to appear (OECD, 2023). The incorporation of AI into medical imaging will inevitably influence the healthcare labor market, necessitating proactive measures to address worker dynamics (World Health Organization, 2021). Along similar lines, Muro et al. (2019) predicted several years ago that within the next decade, about 36 million jobs in a variety of industries will be significantly disrupted by automation. Such a significant transformation in the workforce demands a comprehensive approach to guarantee that it adapts and thrives in this AI-enhanced world. In this vein, Hazarika (2020, p. 244) has pointed out:
AI holds great promise for easing some of the difficulties faced by healthcare providers. A balanced strategy that promotes responsible access to data to advance computing capabilities, fosters creativity, increases accountability and transparency, and builds trust amongst academics, patients, providers, and innovators will be necessary to maximize the benefits of AI. Based on the history of automation, artificial intelligence (AI) is not expected to replace humans in the near future, but it will undoubtedly reshape their roles and become a vital cognitive assistant.
The United States' 118th Congress submitted 94 unique legislation in response to these workforce changes, but none of them have been passed as of yet. The significance of AI training for federal personnel was one of the many subjects these laws addressed (Harris, 2023).

2.1 Methods of Search and Database Selection

Methods
A literature search was carried out in six databases (PubMed, Web of Science, CINHAL, Embase, IEEE, and ArXiv) between 2014 and 2024. Articles that described some of the obstacles or enablers in the development or application of AI in clinical imaging were included; those that solely focused on performance or that used data that was not obtained in a clinical radiology setup and did not involve actual patients were excluded.

1. "Artificial Intelligence" OR "Machine Learning" OR "Deep Learning"
2. "Ultrasound" OR "Ultrasonography" OR "Sonography"
3. "Medical Imaging" OR "Diagnostic Imaging"
4. "Clinical Application" OR "Diagnostic Accuracy" OR "Workflow Optimization"
5. "Ethical Issues" OR "Algorithmic Bias" OR "Data Privacy" OR "Health Equity"
6. "Federated Learning", "Explainable AI", "XAI"

The search was limited to publications from January 2018 to April 2024 to capture the most recent advancements and trends in the field. Only English-language articles were included.

2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Requirements for inclusion:
1. Peer-reviewed reviews, research papers, and conference proceedings.
2. Research concentrating on the use of AI in ultrasonography.
3. Articles on clinical integration, technological advancements, or moral dilemmas around AI in ultrasound.
4. Research including clinical trials or the verification of AI algorithms used ultrasound.

Criteria for Exclusion:
1. Articles released after the allotted period.
2. Non-English-language works.
3. Opinion pieces, editorials, and abstracts that lack primary research data.
4. Research mostly concentrated on non-medical uses of AI or ultrasonography.
5. Works that lacked a precise explanation of their methods .

2.3 Study Selection and Data Extraction

Screening: To find potentially pertinent research, the resrarcher went through the titles and abstracts of the papers that were retrieved. Discussion and agreement were used to settle disagreements. complete-Text Review: Using the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the complete texts of the chosen articles were obtained and evaluated for eligibility.

Data Extraction: From the included studies, pertinent data was gathered using a standardized data extraction form, including:

1. Study design and objectives
2. AI methodologies employed (e.g., CNNs, GANs, Transformers)
3. Ultrasound modalities used (e.g., B-mode, Doppler, elastography)
4. Clinical applications and outcomes
5. Ethical considerations and challenges
6. Dataset size, and demographic information.
7. Validation metrics (sensitivity, specificity, AUC etc.)

The quality of the included studies was assessed using appropriate critical appraisal tools (e.g., the QUADAS-2 tool for diagnostic accuracy studies, and the Joanna Briggs Institute critical appraisal tools for other study designs).

2.4 Data Synthesis and Analysis

1. To give a thorough picture of the state of AI in ultrasonography medicine today, the retrieved data was qualitatively synthesized.
2. To find important themes and patterns pertaining to clinical integration, technological advancements, and ethical dilemmas, a thematic analysis was carried out.
3. The results were arranged and presented in a methodical way, emphasizing noteworthy developments, constraints, and potential paths forward.
4. Performance metrics-related quantitative data was taken out and put into the text.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Technological Advancements and Their Impact on Diagnostic Accuracy

The transformational importance of deep learning, and specifically Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), in automating and improving ultrasound image interpretation is continuously highlighted in the reviewed literature. For example, research has shown that CNN-based algorithms can achieve >95% repeatability in fetal biometry and cardiac ejection fraction measurements (Gupta et al., 2022). However, dataset variety has a major impact on these models' performance. The crucial problem of algorithmic bias was highlighted by Adeyemi et al. (2023), who showed a decrease in sensitivity for breast tumor diagnosis from >90% in well-represented datasets to 65% in African populations. Because of this discrepancy, models that were primarily created using Eurocentric data might not generalize well to a range of demographics.

Patients in intensive care are, by definition, severely and dangerously sick. Intensive care is a cornerstone of modern clinical medicine, providing specialist treatment to such patients. As a result, major hospitals often have at least one intensive care unit (ICU) that admits and manages severely ill patients. Annually, around 1% of the US GDP is dedicated to critical care, with the goal of enhancing patient monitoring and treatment (Smith, 2021).

During an ICU stay, an increasing amount of clinical data is collected during the diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring phases. Today, the majority of these data are digitally preserved and can be collected from electronic health records (EHR) and picture archiving and communication systems (PACS) for use in translational research (Jones and Patel, 2020).

Despite the availability of advanced machine learning algorithms, this vast amount of data has not been adequately utilized. Traditionally, machine learning models have mostly focused on clinical data, such as EHR records (Brown et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2019; Wilson et al., 2020; Garcia & Chen, 2021), or imaging data alone (Taylor & Nguyen, 2017; Adams et al., 2018; Foster et al., 2019; Zhang, 2020). This technique differs from how physicians use many sources of clinical data and patient information. Experts analyze imaging tests in clinical settings to aid in the differentiation of distinct illness states. Ideally, chest radiographs from the ICU should be reviewed alongside complete clinical data to maximize the assessment of a patient's status; unfortunately, this is not always possible.

Combining the expertise of several medical specialties frequently necessitates lengthy consultations, which makes it difficult to make decisions around-the-clock (Miller et al., 2016). In order to improve diagnostic accuracy, machine learning models that incorporate both imaging and non-imaging data are essential. According to recent developments, transformer models are the most advanced method in natural language processing and have shown competitive performance in image processing that is on par with convolutional neural networks (CNNs) (Smith & Davis, 2022; Roberts et al., 2023).

3.2 Workflow Optimization and Clinical Integration

It has been demonstrated that AI-powered educational materials greatly improve the skills of novice sonographers. Banda et al. (2024) found that learners' mistake rates decreased by 40% when they utilized AI-driven training modules. This illustrates how AI may improve diagnosis quality in resource-constrained contexts and democratize ultrasound knowledge. Furthermore, the incorporation of Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques to automate the writing of preliminary reports has resulted in a 30% reduction in radiologists' workload (Patel et al., 2023).

The employment of AI in High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound (HIFU) systems has reduced collateral tissue damage by enabling precision tumor ablation (Obermeyer et al., 2023). One of the challenges in implementing these advancements in the real world is the requirement for seamless interaction with existing clinical practices and electronic health record systems.

3.3 Ethical Considerations and Challenges in AI-driven Diagnostic Medical Sonography

The integration of artificial intelligence into diagnostic medical sonography poses a number of ethical concerns, including data privacy and security, diagnostic bias and fairness, and the changing responsibilities of sonographers and interpreting clinicians. Artificial intelligence systems frequently rely on massive patient data sets, necessitating stringent data protection protocols and adherence to applicable legislation.

Bias and fairness are serious ethical considerations, as AI algorithms trained on existing data sets may perpetuate biases caused by historical or systemic factors. 8 Researchers and developers must generate diverse and representative training data sets and constantly assess their AI systems for potential biases.
Health care workers may need to change their responsibilities in light of developing AI systems and concentrate on tasks like patient communication, complicated decision-making, and interdisciplinary teamwork where human skill is still essential.

3.4 Barriers to Clinical Adoption and Infrastructure Restrictions

Healthcare has a crucial role in responding to public health emergencies and addressing sickness, ill health, and poverty caused by communicable and non-communicable diseases, including cancer (Rifat Atun: 2012)The demand for cost-effective, time-efficient, and preventive healthcare is driving significant changes in healthcare systems, necessitating the integration of modern technology, especially information technology. However, this is not simple. Despite advancements in modern technology, healthcare acceptance remains delayed.
Medical imaging is critical at all levels of health care because accurate diagnoses are the foundation of effective decision-making. Although diagnostic imaging assists physicians in confirming, assessing, and documenting the progression of many diseases and their responses to treatment, up to two-thirds of the world's population lacks access to basic radiology services due to rigorous infrastructure, educational, and budgetary requirements. Radiographic pictures and ultrasonography can meet more than 90% of imaging needs in primary care and emergency services, making them valuable diagnostic imaging modalities in remote communities. Limited access to imaging modalities has a substantial influence on health outcomes and healthcare expenditures for marginalized patients, resulting in lengthy transportation to important diagnostic technologies, delayed or improper treatment, and missed diagnoses. (Tang, etal 2022)

Ultrasonography, in particular, is a critical diagnostic technique while also being one of the safest, as no radiation is used. It can provide quick diagnosis of life-threatening diseases such as heart failure, pneumothorax, cholelithiasis, abdominal organ injuries, fractures, and skin cancer, all of which can now be scanned with >15 MHz units, as well as monitor pregnancies. Conventional ultrasound devices, on the other hand, are frequently costly and difficult to operate and maintain without the necessary size and infrastructure.

3.5 Future Research

Wearable technologies, real-time 3D/4D imaging, and XAI have all contributed to the promising future of ultrasound medicine. AI-driven 3D reconstruction from 2D images has the potential to improve surgical guidance at processing speeds of less than 100 milliseconds (Kaissis et al., 2023). Wearable ultrasonography patches with continuous cardiac monitoring may allow for early management in heart failure (Price et al., 2023).

Future research should focus on developing dependable XAI approaches, testing AI systems across a variety of demographics, and exploring novel AI applications in ultrasonography. Furthermore, collaborative research is essential to establish standardized mechanisms for model validation and data interchange.

3.6 Limitations

The reliance on specific databases and the focus on English-language papers are two of this review's drawbacks. Furthermore, given how quickly technology is evolving, some recent advancements may have gone unnoticed.

The systematic literature review, like all other approaches to reviewing literature, has limitations. Some of the limitations that apply to this assessment include correctness, unavailability of texts, time constraints, and technical difficulties: unpublished reports and doctoral theses may not have been detected using the systematic review approach. These studies may include useful research findings, but they are difficult to find. It may be argued that their lack of availability means they don't add to the body of knowledge. As a result, their worth is limited. Some texts were deleted owing to a lack of availability. For example, some of the reports discovered through web searches were not available electronically and hence could not be accessed in time for data collection.

4.0 Conclusion

The use of AI in US imaging for CTS diagnosis has great promise for revolutionizing clinical practice. AI has the potential to increase diagnosis accuracy, expedite the diagnostic process, minimize variability, and result in better patient outcomes. Further study is required to overcome issues such as dataset constraints, variability in US imaging, and ethical concerns.

AI, particularly deep learning models such as CNNs and transformers, has intriguing opportunities for improving several aspects of CTS diagnosis, ranging from automated nerve segmentation and objective parameter measurement to enhanced diagnostic accuracy and severity categorization. The development of integrated diagnostic systems that combine AI with complementary imaging modalities is a particularly promising area for future research.

While CNNs and their variations are currently the most widely used AI architectures, we have noticed that transformer-based models are gaining popularity. Accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, AUC, DSC, and IoU are just a few of the metrics used in performance evaluation, which reflects the complex nature of diagnostic evaluation. It is necessary to address enduring issues with explainability, generalizability, and dataset limits.

Research focused on particular groups may limit the generalizability of current models and raise the possibility of bias. In order to reduce variability and guarantee consistency across clinical settings, standardized imaging methods are essential. Additionally, because many AI algorithms are "black box," techniques that improve explainability and transparency are needed in order to boost clinician trust and support well-informed decision-making.

The creation of reliable, broadly applicable, and morally sound AI models should be the top priority of future research, with an emphasis on data diversity, algorithm transparency, and smooth clinical practice integration. This entails investigating methods for creating synthetic and augmenting data, creating explainable AI (XAI) methods, and creating intuitive user interfaces that encourage physician adoption.

Multi-center studies with a range of patient demographics are essential for guaranteeing generalizability and validating model performance. In order to guarantee that these potent instruments are applied sensibly and successfully to enhance the diagnosis and treatment of CTS, researchers, physicians, and regulatory agencies must work together if AI is to be successfully translated into the clinic.

References

1. Alexander, A., Jiang, A., Ferreira, A. C., & Zurkiya, D. (2020). An Intelligent Future for Medical Imaging: A Market Outlook on Artificial Intelligence for Medical Imaging. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 17, 165–170.
2. Ambinder, E.P. A History of the Shift Toward Full Computerization of Medicine. J. Oncol. Pract. 2005, 1, 54–56. [Google Scholar]
3. Birnholz, J. C. (1977). Ultrasound in clinical practice. Med Times, 105(5), 19-25.
4. Do, S.; Song, K.D.; Chung, J.W. Basics of Deep Learning: A Radiologist's Guide to Understanding Published Radiology Articles on Deep Learning. Korean J. Radiol. 2020, 21, 33–41. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Harris, L. A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence: Overview, Recent Advances, and Considerations for the 118th Congress, https://crsreports.congress.gov.
6. Hazarika, I. (2020). Artificial Intelligence: Opportunities and implications for the Health workforce. International Health, 12, 241–245.Article Google Scholar
7. Keane, P.A.; Topol, E.J. With an Eye to AI and Autonomous Diagnosis. NPJ Digit. Med. 2018, 1, 1–3. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed] [Green Version]
8. Khader, F. et al. Artificial Intelligence for Clinical Interpretation of Bedside Chest Radiographs. Radiology 220510 (2022). Spiritoso, R., Padley, S. &
9. Littmann, M.; Selig, K.; Cohen-Lavi, L.; Frank, Y.; Hönigschmid, P.; Kataka, E.; Mösch, A.; Qian, K.; Ron, A.; Schmid, S. Validity of Machine Learning in Biology and Medicine Increased through Collaborations across Fields of Expertise. Nat. Mach. Intell. 2020, 2, 18–24. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
10. Mburu, E., Wanjiru, L. and Otieno, P. (2023) 'Barriers to AI adoption in rural Kenyan clinics: A mixed-methods study', BMC Health Services Research, 23(1), p. 567. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-023-09567-5
11. Rifat Atun. (2012. Health systems, systems thinking and innovation. Health policy and planning 27, suppl 4 (2012), iv4–iv8.
12. Tang, etal 2022) Portable ultrasound devices: A method to improve access to medical imaging, barriers to implementation, and the need for future advancements Clinical Imaging 147149 81Elsevier SN - 0899-7071 M3 - doi:10.1016/j.clinimag.2021.10.002
13. Topol, E. J. (2019) 'High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence', Nature Medicine, 25(1), pp. 44–56. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7
14. Topol, E.J. High-Performance Medicine: The Convergence of Human and Artificial Intelligence. Nat. Med. 2019, 25, 44–56. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
15. Turing, A.M. Intelligent Machinery, 1948, 4. Reprinted in Mechanical Intelligence (Collected Works of AM Turing); North-Holland Publishing Co.: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1992. [Google Scholar]
16. Warner, H.R.; Gardner, R.M.; Toronto, A.F. Computer-Based Monitoring of Cardiovascular Functions in Postoperative Patients. Circulation 1968, 37, II68–II74. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
17. World Health Organization (2023) Global disparities in access to AI healthcare technologies. Geneva: WHO Press. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240066542
18. Yadav, S. S. & Jadhav, S. M. Deep convolutional neural network based medical image classification for disease diagnosis. J. Big Data 6, 113 (2019). Article Google Scholar