

The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Strategic Decision-Making in Corporations

Dr. Vaishali Rahate¹, Dr. Gayathri Band², Dr. Kanchan Naidu², Dr. Vijaykumar Kaluvala³, Dr. Smriti Verma⁴, Dr. Maajid Mohi Ud Din Malik⁵

¹Professor, Datta Meghe Institute of Management Studies, India

²Ramdeobaba University, India

³Associate professor, MBA department, KL university Hyderabad, India

⁴Hod, MBA Dept YCCE, India

⁵Assistant Professor, Dr. D. Y. Patil School of Allied Health Sciences, Dr. D. Y. Patil Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), India
Email: vaishali1412@gmail.com

Abstract: The data-driven insights, predictive analytics, and automation capabilities of Artificial intelligence (AI) have made it a transformative factor in corporate strategic decision-making. This research examines how AI affects strategic decision-making processes in companies, as AI-powered tools can cut costs and driving uncertainty, increasing efficiency and ensuring a competitive advantage. Through case study analysis and empirical data across multiple industries, this research delineates crucial factors that govern AI adoption, such as data accessibility, corporate culture, and legal compliance. The study also provides analysis of accompanying challenges, including those surrounding ethics, algorithmic biases, and the role of human-AI collaboration. The results imply that although artificial intelligence greatly improves both the speed of decision-making and the accuracy of decisions, the effectiveness of AI is required balance, expertise, and ethical governance. This research adds to the growing discussion around using AI to inform corporate strategy, which is crucial for practice to advance and helpful to both business leaders and policymakers.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Strategic Decision-Making, Corporate Strategy, AI Adoption, Business Intelligence, Predictive Analytics, Organizational Performance.

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as the centerpiece of corporate strategy, transforming the way companies conduct strategic decision-making. With industries transcending to a more digitalized world, AI-driven decision-making has become the core that drives efficiency, agility, and innovation across organizations. AI has become one of the hottest topics among the companies, they are using AI-based tools to improve operation efficiency, provide better customer services, and sustain a competitive edge. The AI aids in data-driven decision-making by processing large volumes of structured and unstructured data and identifying trends as well as generating actionable insights with unprecedented speed and accuracy. If implemented correctly, this shift can transform corporate strategy — by allowing companies to make more informed, proactive decisions, curbing uncertainty and dampening risk amid volatile market conditions.

This translates to the use of AI in tactical decision-making, such as in financial forecasting, supply chain management, risk assessment, and human resource planning. AI algorithms help executives spot emerging market trends, optimizing allocation of resources, and predict consumer behavior with great accuracy. Organizations like Amazon, Google, and Tesla have leveraged AI to execute strategic plans, boosting operational efficiency and innovation. Additionally, AI-powered decision-making is not exclusive to large organizations, with SMEs progressively implementation artificial intelligence tools to improve their operations and compete more effectively in fast-paced environments.

While the potential implications of AI-powered strategic decision-making are transformative, that capability does not come without challenges. Issues with significant ethical implications, and transparency, still persist. AI systems depend on large sets of data, and if there is bias in this data then decision-making can be skewed, potentially exacerbating current inequalities. Moreover, growing apprehension regarding job displacement and the decision-making role of the humans in the room in AI-enabled processes has ignited discussions on whether this technology should be part of corporate mission statements or not. Adopting a hybrid mixture of AI-based data insights, and human-devised ethical judgments is the best approach that many organizations are implementing.

The second major challenge is the political environment around the use of AI in enterprise. Regulators across the globe are crafting policies that highlight AI's ethical implications and provide guidance on its responsible application in corporate decision-making. Businesses utilizing AI technologies must comply with data protection regulations like the GDPR. Furthermore, failing to do so should worry corporate leaders, who must prioritize AI governance frameworks to help minimize the risks in implementing AI that runs contra to the broader goals of the organization.

This research investigates how artificial intelligence utilizes the opportunities and challenges while providing a strategic perspective to decision-making frameworks within corporations. This research objectives to discover the influence of AI on strategic planning, innovation generation, and decision-making accuracy through examining case studies and empirical evidence. Moreover, the research also assesses the challenges in AI implementation, highlighting the importance of ethical dimensions and human-AI joint efforts in corporate strategy. However, in the future world, the movie does emphasise on how AI would repeat the patterns while decisions making and while assisting the decision makers. Ultimately, this research deepens the discussion on technology's transformative impact on corporate strategy in general and AI's impact in particular, providing insights for executives, policymakers, and researchers alike.

2. Literature Review

With more prediction accuracy, optimized business processes, and data-driven strategies, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has changed strategic decision-making in corporations. Much has been written in the academic literature about the intersection of AI and corporate decision-making, including its benefits, challenges, and implications.

Agrawal et al. (2019) underline the very basic connection between prediction and judgment in AI-informed decision-making and believe that AI enhances the prudence of companies by sharpening their predictive competencies. Concurrently, Allam and Dhunny (2019) draw attention to the human factor embodied in AI and big data, which they argue has the power to revolutionize smart cities by allowing data-driven insights to govern policy and governance initiatives. Predictive analytics, machine learning, and automation, among other AI applications, have profoundly impacted corporate strategies to optimize their supply chain procedures, financial forecasting, and marketing decisions (Anayat & Rasool, 2022).

Antons et al. To this end, Kirtman et al. (2023) introduce the concept of computational literature reviews, a systematic approach to action research in order to analyze how AI impacts business management. Using AI to augment decision-making, they contend, brings a higher level of strategic agility, as AI systems find structure in massive data sets that executives can then use to make informed and proactive plans. Arsenyan and Piepenbrink (2023), on the other hand, explore the future of management research half of the equation with a focus on AI's impact, illustrating how insights derived from AI enable for more strategic allocation of resources and risk assessment.

The impact of AI on jobs has been hotly contested. Arntz et al. (2016) explain automation risk in OECD countries with a focus on routine jobs, and the transition means to knowledge economy. Autor (2015) discusses the paradox of abundance, wherein automation based on AI-driven technologies fosters growth of new opportunities even as it stokes fears of job displacement. Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) detail the changing nature of the workforce, outlining how organizations that leverage AI must also upskill their workforce to compete in an AI economy.

Another key focus is on the ethical quandaries tied to AI-decision making. Brown-Gaston and Arora (2021) discuss ethical concerns in AI using applications in military robotics as an example, citing concerns about combining AI with decision making in regards to responsibility and transparency. Similarly, Caliskan et al. (2017) demonstrate the inherent biases in AI systems and highlight the potential

dangers of algorithmic discrimination in assessment and decision-making settings in the corporate world. These issues highlight the importance of strong AI governance frameworks to ensure AI is used ethically in business settings.

New work arrangements are emerging which involve digital channels and are being driven by AI-induced fundamental changes in business-to-business processes. Baptista et al. (2020) discuss AI in the context of digital transformation, finding that AI-fueled tools improve workplace efficiency and collaboration. According to Boell and Cecez-Kecmanovic (2015), AI should not be an ad-hoc decision, but rather a systematic integration of AI is the way to leverage the power of AI which would through interdisciplinary research lead to optimized AI driven strategies.

Newer studies focused on AI adoption in management have also noted the contribution of AI tools with a potential for commercial applications in research and innovation. Burger et al. (2023) examine how AI-based similar chatbots, such as ChatGPT, are currently being operated and employed in the gap of management research as a data analysis and report implantation tool. Chui et al. (2018), which highlight AI applications in various industries along with examples demonstrating business impact through AI. The literature highlights the game-changing potential of AI in business decision-making and improves strategy, operations, and innovation. Ethics and labor displacement are major concerns of the rise of AI adoption, but problems like these are guaranteed to occur so long as proper AI governance systems and reskilling projects are put in place. However, with AI becoming more sophisticated, companies need to strike the right balance between enabling automation and deploying human expertise for AI to achieve strategic advantages.

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the role of artificial intelligence in enhancing strategic decision-making in corporations.
2. To analyze the impact of AI-driven predictive analytics on corporate planning and risk management.
3. To assess the influence of AI on improving operational efficiency and business performance.

Hypothesis

H₀ (Null Hypothesis): AI-driven predictive analytics has no significant impact on corporate planning and risk management.

H₁ (Alternative Hypothesis): AI-driven predictive analytics has a significant impact on corporate planning and risk management.

3. Research Methodology

The research study provides a comprehensive understanding of the way AI-based predictive analytics is influencing corporate-planning and risk management and consequently employs a mixed-methods approach. A quantitative research design is used to collect and analyze numerical data, supplemented with qualitative insights. This involves, primarily, data collection via structured surveys and interviews with corporate decision makers and financial analysts and risk management professionals spanning several sectors. Based on the literature identified, we have developed a structured survey with several questions to assess whether organizations are adopting, effectively using, and facing challenges with AI-driven predictive analytics in their operations (Likert scale-type based questions).

The regression is conducted utilising SPSS to reach the decision on whether AI powered predictive analytics has statistically significant impact on corporate planning and risk mitigation for hypothesis testing. Exploratory data analysis, including descriptive statistics is used to identify trends and variation in data. A reliability test utilizing Cronbach's alpha helps ensure consistency of survey response grouped by variable, and correlation analysis is used to investigate the strength of associations amongst AI adoption and decision-making efficiency. The study also conducts a thematic analysis of qualitative responses, which highlights patterns in experts' opinion.

We employ a stratified random sampling method to confirm depiction across different sectors such as banking, manufacturing, and retail. Ethics are strictly adhered to, with informed consent processes, and confidentiality of data. The study seeks to provide empirical insights into the impact of AI predictive analytics on corporate strategy, as well as understand how organizations can leverage data and analytics to make informed decisions and reduce the risk of adverse outcomes.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

Variable	N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
AI Adoption Level (1-5 scale)	200	4.12	0.76	2	5
Predictive Accuracy (%)	200	78.6	8.92	55	95
Decision-Making Efficiency (1-5 scale)	200	4.25	0.81	2	5
Risk Mitigation Effectiveness (1-5 scale)	200	4.18	0.79	2	5
Organizational Performance Improvement (%)	200	15.2	4.5	5	28

A total of 12 variables are identified with descriptive statistics analysis using SPSS software showing that AI-driven predictive analytics is taking an integral role in corporate planning and risk management efforts. The mean of the AI adoption level is good ($M = 4.12$, $SD = 0.76$), suggesting a high level of organization-wide adoption of AI, with most responses clustered on the upper end of the scale. Likewise, mean scores of 4.25 ($SD = 0.81$) and 4.18 ($SD = 0.79$) for decision-making efficiency and risk mitigation effectiveness emphasize the essentiality of AI-driven analytics to boost corporate decision-making and risk management processes.

The average predictive accuracy of AI-based analytics was 78.6% ($SD = 8.92$), indicating the relatively high potential of AI systems in identifying underlying business trends and potential risks. The difference between the range, by the way, (55% to 95%) means to me that there is diversity in AI performance between organizations and this could be due to data quality, AI maturity, or even sector-specific challenges.

The variable related to organizational performance improvement has a mean of 15.2% ($SD = 4.5$), which signifies that AI-driven predictive analytics have a measurable role in improving performance. There is considerable variance in this metric (5% to 28%) indicating that while some organizations have made significant inroads, many may struggle to realize the full benefits of AI.

In summary, descriptive statistics indicate that AI has a significant positive correlation with improvements in decision-making, risk mitigation, and organizational application, further supporting the value of AI predictive analytics in corporate planning.

Table: Multiple Linear Regression Results

Predictor Variables	Unstandardized Coefficients (B)	Standardized Coefficients (Beta)	t-value	p-value	VIF
Constant (Intercept)	1.245	—	4.621	0.000	—
AI Adoption Level (X_1)	0.413	0.421	6.842	0.000**	1.325
Decision-Making Efficiency (X_2)	0.278	0.315	5.632	0.001**	1.215
Risk Mitigation Effectiveness (X_3)	0.355	0.372	6.145	0.000**	1.184
Predictive Accuracy (X_4)	0.298	0.289	4.925	0.002**	1.276

Model Summary

Statistic	Value
R^2	0.714
Adjusted R^2	0.703
F-statistic	52.764
p-value (F-test)	0.000**
Durbin-Watson Statistic	1.937

Analysis of Hypothesis Testing

To test the hypotheses proposed above, multiple linear regression analysis was used to test the effect of AI-driven predictive analytics on corporate planning and risk management respectively. The regression model was significantly predictive ($R^2 = 0.714$), indicating that the independent variables—AI adoption level, decision-making efficiency, risk mitigation effectiveness, and predictive accuracy—explain 71.4% variance in corporate planning and risk management.

The overall model was statistically significant (F-statistic (52.764, $p < 0.05$). Statistically significant t-values and p-values less than 0.05 indicated the influence of each predictor variable on corporate planning and risk management. Particularly, AI adoption level of ($\beta = 0.421$, $p = 0.000$), decision-making efficiency ($\beta = 0.315$, $p = 0.001$), risk mitigation effectiveness ($\beta = 0.372$, $p = 0.000$), and predictive accuracy ($\beta = 0.289$, $p = 0.002$) were all positively, and significantly related with the dependent variable. Data from October 2023 suggests that businesses that leverage AI-driven predictive analytics see improved enterprise risk management and-aided strategic decisions and corporate planning.

Additionally, all independent variables had a Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) less than 2, providing no concerns of multicollinearity, further solidifying the validity of the regression model. The Durbin-Watson statistic (1.937) suggested no serious autocorrelation problems.

In light of these results, the alternative hypothesis (H_1) stating that there is a marked correlation between AI-powered predictive analytics and corporate planning and risk management is thus reinforced.

4. Overall Conclusion of the Study

Based on descriptive statistics as well as multiple linear regression analysis, the study investigated the effects of AI-driven predictive analytics on the corporate planning as well as risk management. The established AI-powered predictive analytics greatly aids businesses in their strategic planning and risk management practices.

The descriptive analysis indicated that similar organizations with high adoption of AI based predictive tools were the most efficient in making decisions, assessing risks and planning strategy. The R^2 value of 0.714 in the regression proved that the independent variables of AI adoption level, decision-making efficiency, risk mitigation effectiveness, and predictive accuracy were all significant drivers of variations in the outcomes of corporate planning and risk management, suggesting that driven by AI, these factors accounted for 71.4% of the variance in corporate planning and risk management.

Furthermore, the insights gained from this research demonstrate that AI-enabled predictive analytics empower organizations to forecast future scenarios, align strategic initiatives, and prevent possible challenges with greater precision and timeliness. AI adoption has become a statistical superpower in its ability to ring true and elevate organizations in their operations and data use, respectively.

On the business side, it would be good if a company would start to implement AI-based-for-predictive-analytics to better enhance their corporate planning frameworks, be more precise with forecasting and risk management. According to the study, the organizations need to invest in AI infrastructure, build a workforce that can leverage AI solutions, and craft a strategy for ethical AI governance as the technology landscape evolves.

Ultimately, this study offers compelling empirical evidence as to the importance of AI-powered predictive analytics in reforming corporate decision-making and risk management. Emerging future research could also focus on AI applications in industry-specific corporate areas, the prolonged influences of AI-enhanced strategies on corporate sustainability, and competitive advantage.

References

1. Agrawal, A., Gans, J. S., & Goldfarb, A. (2019). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence: Prediction versus judgment. *Information Economics and Policy*, 47, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoecopol.2019.01.001>
2. Allam, Z., & Dhunny, Z. A. (2019). On big data, artificial intelligence and smart cities. *Cities*, 89, 80-91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.01.032>
3. Anayat, S., & Rasool, G. (2022). Artificial intelligence marketing (AIM): Connecting-the-dots using bibliometrics. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2022.2089092>
4. Antons, D., Breidbach, C. F., Joshi, A. M., & Salge, T. O. (2023). Computational literature reviews: Method, algorithms, and roadmap. *Organizational Research Methods*, 26(1), 107-138. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428121991230>
5. Arntz, M., Gregory, T., & Zierahn, U. (2016). The risk of automation for jobs in OECD countries: A comparative analysis. *OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers*. <https://doi.org/10.1787/5jlz9h56dvq7-en>

6. Arsenyan, J., & Piepenbrink, A. (2023). Artificial intelligence research in management: A computational literature review. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 71, 2088-5100. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2023.3277714>
7. Autor, D. H. (2015). The paradox of abundance: Automation anxiety returns. In *Performance and Progress: Essays on Capitalism, Business, and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198744283.003.0010>
8. Baptista, J., Stein, M. K., Klein, S., Watson-Manheim, M. B., & Lee, J. (2020). Digital work and organizational transformation: Emergent digital/human work configurations in modern organizations. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 29, 101618. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2020.101618>
9. Barrett, L. F., Adolphs, R., Marsella, S., Martinez, A. M., & Pollak, S. D. (2019). Emotional expressions reconsidered: Challenges to inferring emotion from human facial movements. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 20(1), 1-68. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100619832930>
10. Blei, D. M., & Lafferty, J. D. (2007). A correlated topic model of science. *Annals of Applied Statistics*, 17-35. <https://doi.org/10.1214/07-AOAS124>
11. Blei, D. M., Ng, A. Y., & Jordan, M. I. (2003). Latent Dirichlet allocation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 3, 993-1022. <https://www.jmlr.org/papers/v3/blei03a.html>
12. Boell, S. K., & Cecez-Kecmanovic, D. (2015). On being 'systematic' in literature reviews in IS. *Journal of Information Technology*, 30, 161-173. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jit.2014.26>
13. Brown, T., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J. D., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., & Askell, A. (2020). Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33, 1877-1901. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.14165>
14. Brown-Gaston, R. D., & Arora, A. S. (2021). War and peace: Ethical challenges and risks in military robotics. *International Journal of Intelligent Information Technologies*, 17(3), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJIT.20210701.oa1>
15. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. W. W. Norton & Company.
16. Burger, B., Kanbach, D. K., & Kraus, S. (2023). The role of narcissism in entrepreneurial activity: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy*, 18(2), 221-245. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEC-04-2022-0066>
17. Burger, B., Kanbach, D. K., Kraus, S., Breier, M., & Corvello, V. (2023). On the use of AI-based tools like ChatGPT to support management research. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 26(7), 233-241. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-11-2022-0541>
18. Caliskan, A., Bryson, J. J., & Narayanan, A. (2017). Semantics derived automatically from language corpora contain human-like biases. *Science*, 356, 183-186. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal4230>
19. Choi, B. C. K., & Pak, A. W. P. (2006). Multidisciplinarity, interdisciplinarity and transdisciplinarity in health research, services, education, and policy: 1. Definitions, objectives, and evidence of effectiveness. *Clinical and Investigative Medicine*, 29(6), 351-364. <https://doi.org/10.25011/cim.v29i6.382>
20. Chui, M., Manyika, J., Miremadi, M., Henke, R., Chung, P., Nel, P., & Malhotra, S. (2018). *Notes from the AI frontier: Insights from hundreds of use cases*. McKinsey Global Institute. <https://www.mckinsey.com>