

The Use of 3D Imaging Techniques for Improved Urological Surgical Outcomes

Dr. Qaed Salem Alhammami¹, Rayan Murdhi Ali Alzahrani², Hawra Ebraheem Nasser Alnasser³, Amal Saleem Al-Johani⁴, Jawad Awad Alnajjar⁵, Reem Abdullah Adlan Al-Abbas⁶, Sara Waleed Buholaiqh⁷, Salem Ibrahim S. Aljaddua⁸, Shahad Shaker Al-Shaker⁹, Abdullah Hamad I. Alsabhawi¹⁰

¹Assistant Professor and consultant of radiolog, Najran University, Saudi Arabia Email: Dr.guids@gmail.com

²Faculty of Medicine, Medical Intern, Al-Baha University, Al- Baha, Saudi Arabia

³General Practitioner (Service Resident), Qariyat Al Ulya General Hospital, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

⁴Student, College of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Rabigh, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

⁵Service Resident, Medicine and Surgery, Intern, East Jeddah Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

⁶College of Medicine, General Surgery, Najran University, Najran, Saudi Arabia

⁷General Practitioner, Alfaisal University Alumni, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

⁸Medicine and Surgery, Intern, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

⁹Intern, Medicine and Surgery, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

¹⁰Medical Intern, Qassim University, College of Medicine, Buraydah, Saudi Arabia

Abstract: The integration of 3D imaging techniques in urology has revolutionized the surgical landscape, enhancing pre-operative planning and intraoperative navigation. These advanced imaging modalities, including 3D ultrasound, MRI, and CT scans, allow for precise visualization of the patient's anatomy, enabling surgeons to identify critical structures and pathology with greater accuracy. By providing a detailed spatial understanding, 3D imaging helps in reducing surgical complications, minimizing collateral damage to surrounding tissues, and ultimately improving patient outcomes. Additionally, the use of 3D reconstructions can facilitate better communication among the surgical team, streamlining the workflow during complex procedures such as nephrectomies, prostatectomies, and tumor resections. Furthermore, the application of 3D imaging techniques extends beyond the operating room, impacting post-operative assessments and long-term follow-up care. Enhanced imaging allows for more accurate monitoring of surgical sites and emerging complications, such as infections or recurrences. The capability to create patient-specific models from real anatomical data also aids in training and education, providing new avenues for skill acquisition among urology residents and fellows. As technology continues to advance, the incorporation of augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) in conjunction with 3D imaging holds promise for further elevating the standards of care in urology, leading to better surgical precision and improved patient satisfaction.

Keywords: 3D imaging, urology, surgical outcomes, pre-operative planning, intraoperative navigation, 3D ultrasound, MRI, CT scans

1. Introduction

The evolution of imaging modalities has played a critical role in enhancing the ability to diagnose and treat urological conditions. Among these innovations, three-dimensional (3D) imaging methods stand out as transformative tools that have redefined the landscape of urological surgery [1].

The history of imaging in urology dates back to the early 20th century, with the advent of basic radiography (X-rays) used to visualize renal stones and anatomical structures. The introduction of contrast agents paved the way for the development of intravenous pyelography (IVP), which provided rudimentary images of the renal collecting system [2]. As technology progressed, the capabilities of imaging evolved, leading to the inception of ultrasonography in the 1950s. This non-invasive technique allowed for real-time visualization of the urinary tract, spearheading a paradigm shift in diagnostic urology [3].

The late 20th century saw the emergence of computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), both of which revolutionized the ability to obtain cross-sectional images of the body. CT has become a standard method for diagnosing urinary tract pathologies, offering rapid and detailed information about kidney stones, tumors, and anatomical abnormalities. MRI, while less commonly used in urgent situations, has provided superior soft tissue contrast and has become indispensable in the assessment of prostate cancer [4].

While two-dimensional imaging techniques have greatly improved diagnostic accuracy, they often fall short in providing comprehensive spatial information necessary for complex surgical procedures. 3D imaging has emerged as a result of advancements in computer technology, image reconstruction algorithms, and synchrotron radiation sources. It allows clinicians to visualize structures in three dimensions, thus offering a more intuitive and accurate representation of anatomy [5].

The integration of 3D imaging in urology began gaining momentum during the early 2000s. This shift was primarily driven by the growing recognition of the importance of three-dimensional spatial understanding in surgical planning and execution. The ability to visualize complex anatomical relationships in three dimensions has allowed urologists to improve their preoperative assessment, enhance intraoperative navigation, and ultimately increase the precision of surgical interventions [6].

Various 3D imaging modalities have been developed for urology, with 3D ultrasound, CT, and MRI being particularly notable. 3D ultrasound is favored for its real-time imaging and safety, as it avoids ionizing radiation while providing crucial insights into the prostate, kidneys, and bladder. Recent advancements have allowed for detailed volumetric images, aiding in the assessment of organ size and potential pathology [7]. Meanwhile, 3D CT imaging has improved anatomical access through multi-detector row scans, offering high-resolution reconstructions that are essential for planning complex surgeries, such as nephrectomy, especially in patients with renal tumors [4]. In prostate cancer detection and management, 3D MRI has gained importance, particularly through multiparametric MRI, which combines various imaging techniques to deliver comprehensive tissue and lesion information [7]. This approach enhances risk stratification and guides biopsies, while the 3D visualization of the prostate significantly improves the accuracy of targeted therapies, including focal therapy and robotic-assisted surgeries. Together, these imaging modalities contribute to more precise diagnosis and treatment in urology [8].

The incorporation of 3D imaging in urological surgeries has a multitude of benefits. First, it facilitates personalized surgical planning. By providing surgeons with accurate models of the patient's anatomy, they can anticipate challenges, select appropriate surgical techniques, and

determine optimal incisions [9].

Furthermore, 3D imaging markedly improves intraoperative navigation. For procedures such as laparoscopic and robotic-assisted surgeries, where precision is paramount, having access to 3D reconstructions helps surgeons to orient themselves, decreasing the risk of complications. This enhancement in navigational accuracy contributes to reduced operative time and improved patient outcomes [10].

Another crucial aspect is the role 3D imaging plays in education and training. Surgical simulations based on 3D imaging data offer a valuable resource for training young urologists, enabling them to practice techniques in geometrically accurate environments. Such simulations can bridge the gap between theoretical understanding and practical application, ultimately leading to the development of skilled and confident practitioners [11].

Despite the substantial advantages of 3D imaging in urology, challenges remain. The cost of advanced imaging technologies can be prohibitive for some healthcare facilities, potentially limiting access and usage. Moreover, the integration of 3D imaging into routine practice requires training and adaptation among urologists and their teams [12].

Future advancements in 3D imaging are likely to focus on enhancing the speed of image acquisition and improving the algorithms used for image reconstruction. Additionally, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) to assist in image analysis could further support urologists in diagnosis and treatment planning—ushering in an era of personalized medicine tailored to individual patient needs [13].

Types of 3D Imaging Techniques:

1. 3D Ultrasound

3D ultrasound utilizes sound waves to create images of internal structures. Unlike traditional 2D ultrasound, which captures flat images by transmitting sound waves through the body, 3D ultrasound uses an array of transducers that emit and receive sound waves simultaneously. The data collected from the signals are processed by advanced algorithms to generate volumetric images, offering a more complete and interactive view of the anatomy [14].

3D ultrasound has found extensive applications in obstetrics and gynecology, providing valuable insights during pregnancy. Incorporating this technique allows for the visualization of fetal anatomy, including assessment of developmental anomalies and placental position. Additionally, it facilitates the detection of conditions such as congenital heart defects and abnormalities in limb formation [6]. Beyond obstetrics, 3D ultrasound is increasingly used in cardiology, enabling the examination of cardiac structures and the assessment of valve function. Moreover, it is utilized in musculoskeletal imaging to visualize joints, tendons, and ligaments, particularly for pre-operative planning in orthopedic procedures [15].

One of the primary advantages of 3D ultrasound is its non-invasive nature and the absence of ionizing radiation, making it a safe option for patients, especially pregnant women and children. Additionally, real-time imaging capabilities allow for the assessment of dynamic physiological processes. However, the resolution of 3D ultrasound can be limited in certain anatomical areas, particularly in obese patients where increased adipose tissue can hinder sound wave penetration. Furthermore, the quality of the images is highly operator-dependent, requiring skilled technicians to perform scans effectively [16].

2. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

Magnetic Resonance Imaging utilizes powerful magnets and radiofrequency waves to generate images of the body's internal structures. The technique is based on the principles of nuclear magnetic resonance, where the magnetic field aligns hydrogen protons present in the body [11]. When the radiofrequency pulse is applied, the protons are stimulated and produce signals as they realign with the magnetic field upon removal of the pulse. These signals are captured and processed to create detailed images of soft tissues, organs, and other anatomical features [17].

MRI is particularly advantageous for imaging soft tissues, making it indispensable in neurology, orthopedics, and oncology. In neurological applications, MRI allows for the evaluation of brain

tumors, multiple sclerosis, and other abnormalities such as stroke. In orthopedics, it excels in visualizing cartilage, ligaments, and muscles, thus playing a vital role in diagnosing tears and degenerative conditions. MRI is also critical in cancer diagnosis, enabling the assessment of tumor size, extent, and response to treatment, and guiding interventions such as biopsies [16].

The primary advantages of MRI include its high spatial resolution and contrast differentiation between soft tissues, facilitating superior visualization of complex anatomical structures. MRI is also a non-invasive, non-ionizing imaging technique. However, it does have some limitations. The procedure can be time-consuming, often requiring patients to remain still for extended periods, which can be challenging for certain populations, including children and individuals with claustrophobia. Additionally, the high cost of MRI machines and operating expenses can be prohibitive in some healthcare settings [17].

3. Computed Tomography (CT) Scans

Computed Tomography represents a breakthrough in imaging technology, combining X-ray measurements taken from different angles and using computer processing to produce cross-sectional images of the body. The CT scanner rotates around the patient, capturing multiple X-ray images that are reconstructively processed to create detailed 3D images of the internal anatomy [18].

CT scans have a wide range of applications in emergency medicine, oncology, and trauma assessment. In emergency medicine, CT is the gold standard for diagnosing conditions such as internal bleeding, fractures, and pulmonary embolisms rapidly. In oncology, CT scans assist in tumor detection and characterization, as well as aiding in treatment planning and monitoring. The technique is also used in multi-modal imaging approaches, where it can be combined with other modalities, such as PET scans, for enhanced diagnostic capabilities [5].

CT scans offer rapid and precise imaging, making them invaluable in acute settings. The technique provides detailed information about both bone and soft tissues, enabling comprehensive assessment for various clinical conditions. Nonetheless, the use of ionizing radiation is a significant limitation, particularly in pediatric patients and those requiring multiple imaging studies, as excessive exposure can increase cancer risk. Additionally, while CT is superb in visualizing certain anatomical features, it may not provide the soft-tissue contrast that MRI offers [19, 20].

Pre-operative Planning and Visualization:

Pre-operative planning is a critical step in the surgical workflow. It involves a series of assessments and preparations that allow the surgical team to develop a structured approach tailored to the specific needs of the patient. The significance of effective pre-operative planning is particularly pronounced in urology, where procedures can be intricate and require a detailed understanding of the patient's unique anatomical structures [21].

In urology, conditions such as urinary tract obstructions, kidney stones, prostate cancer, and bladder disorders often necessitate surgical intervention. Each of these conditions presents unique challenges, making it essential for the surgical team to have a clear and accurate representation of the patient's anatomy prior to entering the operating room. Traditional 2D imaging techniques, such as X-rays, ultrasounds, and CT scans, have provided valuable insights; however, they are inherently limited in their ability to convey the intricate spatial relationships and complex structures present in the human body [22].

The integration of 3D imaging in pre-operative planning has yielded numerous benefits that advance surgical proficiency and patient safety. Some of the key benefits include:

1. **Enhanced Visualization of Anatomy:** 3D imaging allows surgeons to view anatomical structures in a manner that traditional imaging cannot provide. This is particularly important in urology, where key structures such as the prostate, bladder, and ureters must be navigated with accuracy. These visualizations help in identifying critical structures and variations in anatomy, which are pivotal in reducing the risk of injury during surgery [23].

2. **Tailored Surgical Approaches:** With a clear understanding of the patient's unique anatomical layout, surgical teams can develop customized surgical strategies. This tailoring enables surgeons to identify the most effective techniques and approaches, optimizing the route taken during surgery and minimizing trauma to surrounding tissues [24].
3. **Education and Communication:** 3D imaging facilitates improved communication among surgical teams, as well as with patients and their families. Visual models can help convey complex anatomical issues, making patient education more effective. This transparency fosters trust and allows for better-informed consent processes, as patients can visually appreciate the nature of their condition and the proposed interventions [25].
4. **Increased Surgical Precision:** The pre-operative identification of critical structures using 3D modeling aids in planning for potential complications during the procedure. By allowing for meticulous planning, surgeons can execute their techniques with enhanced precision, directly influencing patient outcomes and reducing post-operative complications [26].
5. **Training and Simulation:** The enriched visualization provided by 3D imaging can also be utilized in the education of future urologists. Trainee surgeons can benefit from studying 3D models, allowing them to familiarize themselves with surgical planning and decision-making long before they enter the operating theater [27].

Despite the numerous advantages of 3D imaging in urology, several challenges and considerations remain. One of the most pressing is the cost associated with acquiring and maintaining advanced imaging technologies. Additionally, the integration of these technologies requires dedicated training to ensure that all team members are proficient in interpreting 3D models and applying this knowledge in clinical practice [28].

Furthermore, as technological advancements continue, the speed of updates and enhancements can pose a challenge for clinics and hospitals. Maintaining the requisite hardware and software can be resource-intensive, particularly for smaller healthcare facilities with tight budgets [14].

Intraoperative Applications of 3D Imaging:

The incorporation of real-time 3D imaging into the surgical workflow has proven to be a game changer in urology. Real-time imaging allows surgeons to obtain immediate feedback on their surgical actions, facilitating more precise navigation and decision-making. This capability is particularly beneficial in minimally invasive surgeries, such as laparoscopic or robotic-assisted procedures, where the surgical field is often obscured by instruments and limited visibility [29].

One of the key advantages of real-time 3D imaging is its ability to provide dynamic information about the surgical site. For instance, during a laparoscopic nephrectomy, surgeons can utilize real-time 3D imaging to visualize the kidney's vascular anatomy, ensuring that critical blood vessels are preserved while excising tumors. This level of precision reduces the risk of complications, such as excessive bleeding or damage to surrounding tissues [11].

Navigation is a critical component of successful surgical outcomes, and 3D imaging plays a vital role in enhancing this process. By integrating 3D imaging with navigation systems, surgeons can superimpose preoperative images onto the live surgical field. This augmented reality approach allows for a more intuitive understanding of spatial relationships, enabling surgeons to navigate complex anatomical landscapes with greater confidence [17].

In urology, this is particularly important when addressing tumors located near vital structures, such as the bladder or ureters. For example, during a robotic-assisted prostatectomy, real-time 3D imaging allows the surgeon to visualize the prostate's relationship to the neurovascular bundles, which are crucial for preserving erectile function post-surgery. By accurately navigating these structures, surgeons can make informed decisions about the extent of tissue resection, ultimately improving patient outcomes [30].

Surgical decision-making is inherently complex, often requiring surgeons to weigh multiple

factors in real time. The integration of 3D imaging into the surgical workflow provides surgeons with a wealth of information that can inform their decisions. For instance, in cases of kidney cancer, the ability to visualize the tumor's size, location, and relationship to surrounding tissues is essential for determining the most appropriate surgical approach, whether it be partial nephrectomy or radical nephrectomy [31].

Moreover, real-time imaging can assist in identifying unexpected anatomical variations during surgery. For example, a surgeon may encounter a previously unrecognized anatomical anomaly that could impact the surgical plan. With real-time 3D imaging, the surgeon can quickly reassess the situation, adapt their approach, and make informed decisions without compromising patient safety [11].

In addition to enhancing navigation and decision-making during actual surgeries, 3D imaging also plays a crucial role in the training and skill development of urologists. Surgical simulation platforms that utilize 3D imaging allow trainees to practice procedures in a risk-free environment. These simulations provide valuable opportunities for urologists to refine their skills, develop spatial awareness, and gain confidence in their ability to navigate complex anatomical structures [18].

Furthermore, the use of 3D imaging in preoperative planning enables trainees to familiarize themselves with a patient's unique anatomy before entering the operating room. This preparation can lead to a smoother surgical experience and better outcomes for patients [32].

While the benefits of 3D imaging in urology surgery are clear, there are still challenges to overcome. One of the primary concerns is the integration of these advanced imaging technologies into existing surgical workflows. Surgeons must be trained to effectively utilize 3D imaging tools, and institutions must invest in the necessary infrastructure to support their use [32].

Additionally, the cost of advanced imaging technologies can be a barrier to widespread adoption, particularly in resource-limited settings. As technology continues to advance and become more accessible, it is essential for healthcare systems to prioritize the integration of 3D imaging into urology surgical practice [32].

Impact on Surgical Outcomes:

Enhanced Surgical Precision

One of the foremost benefits of 3D imaging in urology is the enhancement of surgical precision. Traditional 2D imaging techniques offer limited depth perception and may obscure vital structures, making it challenging for surgeons to navigate during procedures. In contrast, 3D imaging provides surgeons with a comprehensive view of the entire anatomical landscape, facilitating better spatial awareness. This is especially crucial in complex urological surgeries, such as nephrectomies, prostatectomies, and reconstructive surgeries [33].

With 3D imaging, surgeons can rehearse the procedure and identify potential challenges before entering the operating room. This preoperative planning can markedly decrease the likelihood of intraoperative complications, such as excessive bleeding or damage to adjacent organs. By enabling a detailed assessment of the spatial relationships between tumors, vascular structures, and other vital components, 3D imaging allows for more tailored approaches in surgical planning [34].

Reduction of Complications

The implications of enhanced surgical precision extend to the reduction of complications. Studies have demonstrated a correlation between the use of 3D imaging and decreased postoperative complications in urology. For instance, it has been shown that employing 3D imaging for tumor resection significantly lessens the incidence of complications like urinary leakage, infections, and the need for additional surgeries [35].

A notable example is seen in radical prostatectomy—a surgical procedure for prostate cancer. 3D imaging allows surgeons to accurately map out the prostate and surrounding structures, such as the neurovascular bundles. This contributes to the preservation of nerve function, which is crucial for maintaining erectile function and urinary continence in patients. By reducing the collateral damage to surrounding tissues, the likelihood of complications associated with nerve injury is

minimized [8].

Furthermore, 3D imaging aids in the precise localization of impinging stones in urological surgeries. By providing a detailed view of the location and size of calculi within the urinary tract, urologists can better plan for interventions such as ureteroscopy or percutaneous nephrolithotomy, leading to a more effective removal of stones while minimizing trauma to surrounding healthy tissues [12].

Accelerated Patient Recovery

Beyond immediate surgical advantages, 3D imaging plays a decisive role in the overall recovery of patients. A more precise surgical approach often translates into much simpler recovery trajectories for patients. When surgical interventions are accurate, patients tend to experience less pain postoperatively, a shorter length of stay in the hospital, and a more rapid return to their daily activities [36].

The integration of 3D imaging not only enhances the technical aspects of surgery, but also shapes the postoperative experience. For example, patients who undergo surgeries with the assistance of 3D imaging often report satisfaction with their outcomes due to fewer complications and better overall experiences. This positive patient experience can cultivate a sense of trust in the healthcare system, encouraging adherence to follow-up appointments and postoperative care [22].

Moreover, the impact of reduced complications and enhanced recovery on healthcare systems cannot be overlooked. Fewer complications lead to decreased hospital readmissions, reducing the financial burden on both patients and healthcare facilities. The economic implications of these improved outcomes contribute to more sustainable healthcare practices, reflecting a blending of quality care and cost-effectiveness in urological services [7].

The Future of 3D Imaging in Urology:

The convergence of 3D imaging with augmented reality and virtual reality technologies is poised to redefine the practice of urology. AR enhances the real-world environment by overlaying digital information, while VR immerses users in a computer-generated environment. Both technologies have practical applications in preoperative planning, intraoperative guidance, and postoperative evaluations [37].

AR technology relies on integrating 3D representations into a surgeon's field of view, ultimately enriching their understanding of the patient's anatomy. In the context of urology, AR can be utilized in multiple ways:

1. **Preoperative Planning:** Surgeons can visualize 3D reconstructions of a patient's anatomy before a procedure. By using AR headsets or smart glasses, they can combine real-world imagery with virtual models, enabling them to identify critical structures, plan incisions, and anticipate potential complications [38].
2. **Surgical Navigation:** During surgery, AR can provide real-time information regarding the location of tumors, blood vessels, and other critical anatomical features. For instance, an AR overlay may assist surgeons in identifying the precise location of a kidney stone during a ureteroscopy procedure, thus enhancing surgical efficiency and minimizing tissue damage [39].
3. **Training and Education:** As medical education evolves, AR presents significant opportunities for training future urologists. By using AR simulations, medical students can interact with 3D models of male reproductive anatomy and various urological conditions. Such immersive experiences promote a deeper understanding of anatomy and foster skill development in a risk-free environment [39].

Virtual Reality (VR) in Urology

Similar to AR, VR technology also presents unique applications in urology:

1. **Simulation-Based Training:** VR has emerged as a highly effective training tool that allows surgical residents to practice complex procedures in a simulated environment. For example, by using VR platforms, students can replicate renal surgeries and practice techniques for managing

complications, thus enhancing their confidence and competence before transitioning to real-life scenarios [40].

2. **Patient Education:** VR can be instrumental in educating patients about their conditions and treatment options. Immersive experiences can help patients visualize their diseases and understand how specific procedures—like nephrectomy or prostatectomy—are performed. This increased understanding can lead to improved patient compliance and satisfaction [41].

3. **Therapeutic Applications:** Preliminary studies suggest that VR can also serve as a therapeutic tool in pain management and anxiety reduction in patients undergoing urological procedures. By immersing patients in calming environments, VR may help mitigate preoperative anxiety, improve pain tolerance, and promote relaxation [42].

While the potential benefits of AR and VR in urology are promising, certain challenges must be addressed. Firstly, the integration of these technologies will require significant investment in equipment, software, and training. Healthcare institutions must develop robust infrastructure to support AR and VR integration while ensuring compatibility with existing imaging systems [43]. Secondly, as with any emerging technology, the issue of safety and efficacy remains paramount. Rigorous clinical trials should be conducted to assess the practical applications of AR and VR in urology to guarantee that they augment rather than hinder clinical practice. Furthermore, healthcare professionals must be adequately trained to utilize these technologies effectively [44]. Finally, ethical considerations surrounding digital information management and patient privacy must be prioritized. The use of AR and VR in patient education requires equal attention to data security and confidentiality to avoid any potential breaches that could compromise patient trust [44].

2. Conclusion:

The utilization of 3D imaging techniques in urology represents a significant advancement that greatly enhances surgical outcomes and patient care. By providing detailed anatomical visualization and facilitating precise pre-operative planning, these technologies enable surgeons to navigate complex anatomical structures with greater confidence and accuracy. The integration of 3D imaging not only reduces the risk of surgical complications but also fosters improved communication and coordination among surgical teams, ultimately leading to more efficient procedures. Looking ahead, the continued evolution of 3D imaging, including the incorporation of augmented and virtual reality, holds tremendous promise for refining surgical techniques and expanding the capabilities of urological interventions. However, it is imperative to address the existing challenges related to accessibility, training, and integration into routine practice. As research continues to illuminate the full potential of these advanced imaging modalities, the urological field stands poised to deliver even better patient outcomes and elevate the standard of care in surgical practice.

References

1. Checcucci E., Amparore D., Volpi G., Piramide F., De Cillis S., Piana A., Alessio P., Verri P., Piscitello S., Carbonaro B., et al. Percutaneous puncture during PCNL: New perspective for the future with virtual imaging guidance. *World J. Urol.* 2022;40:639–650. doi: 10.1007/s00345-021-03820-4.
2. Huang W.C., Elkin E.B., Levey A.S., Jang T.L., Russo P. Partial Nephrectomy Versus Radical Nephrectomy in Patients with Small Renal Tumors—Is There a Difference in Mortality and Cardiovascular Outcomes? *J. Urol.* 2009;181:55–62. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2008.09.017.
3. Kang S.K., Zhang A., Pandharipande P.V., Chandarana H., Braithwaite R.S., Littenberg B.

- DWI for Renal Mass Characterization: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Diagnostic Test Performance. *Am. J. Roentgenol.* 2015;205:317–324. doi: 10.2214/AJR.14.13930.
4. Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of Web surveys: The Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES) *J. Med. Internet Res.* 2004;6:e34. doi: 10.2196/jmir.6.3.e34.
 5. Mottet N., van den Bergh R.C.N., Briers E., Van den Broeck T., Cumberbatch M.G., De Santis M., Fanti S., Fossati N., Gandaglia G., Gillessen S., et al. Cornford P. EAU-EANM-ESTRO-ESUR-SIOG Guidelines on Prostate Cancer-2020 Update—Part 1: Screening, Diagnosis, and Local Treatment with Curative Intent. *Eur. Urol.* 2021;79:243–262. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2020.09.042.
 6. Xiao Y., Shan Z.J., Yang J.F., Len J.J., Yu Y.H., Yang M.L. Nephrometric scoring system: Recent advances and outlooks. *Urol. Oncol.* 2023;41:15–26. doi: 10.1016/j.urolonc.2022.06.019.
 7. Cacciamani G.E., Okhunov Z., Meneses A.D., Rodriguez-Socarras M.E., Rivas J.G., Porpiglia F., Liatsikos E., Veneziano D. Impact of Three-dimensional Printing in Urology: State of the Art and Future Perspectives. A Systematic Review by ESUT-YAUWP Group. *Eur. Urol.* 2019;76:209–221. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2019.04.044.
 8. Thakker P.U., O'Rourke T., Jr., Hemal A.K. Technologic advances in robot-assisted nephron sparing surgery: A narrative review. *Transl. Androl. Urol.* 2023;12:1184–1198. doi: 10.21037/tau-23-107.
 9. Miller D.C., Schonlau M., Litwin M.S., Lai J., Saigal C.S. Renal and cardiovascular morbidity after partial or radical nephrectomy. *Cancer.* 2008;112:511–520. doi: 10.1002/cncr.23218.
 10. Leow J.J., Heah N.H., Chang S.L., Chong Y.L., Png K.S. Outcomes of Robotic versus Laparoscopic Partial Nephrectomy: An Updated Meta-Analysis of 4,919 Patients. *J. Urol.* 2016;196:1371–1377. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2016.06.011.
 11. Wang J., Lu Y., Wu G., Wang T., Wang Y., Zhao H., Zhou Z., Wu J. The role of three-dimensional reconstruction in laparoscopic partial nephrectomy for complex renal tumors. *World J. Surg. Oncol.* 2019;17:159. doi: 10.1186/s12957-019-1701-x.
 12. Rocco B., Sighinolfi M.C., Dourado Menezes A., Eissa A., Inzillo R., Sandri M., Puliatti S., Turri F., Ciarlariello S., Amato M., et al. Three-dimensional virtual reconstruction with DocDo, a novel interactive tool to score renal mass complexity. *BJU Int.* 2020;125:761–762. doi: 10.1111/bju.15049.
 13. Wang Z., Qi L., Yuan P., Zu X., Chen W., Cao Z., Li Y., Wang L. Application of Three-Dimensional Visualization Technology in Laparoscopic Partial Nephrectomy of Renal Tumor: A Comparative Study. *J. Laparoendosc. Adv. Surg. Tech. A.* 2017;27:516–523. doi: 10.1089/lap.2016.0645.
 14. Esperto F., Prata F., Aufrán-Gómez A.M., Rivas J.G., Socarras M., Marchioni M., Albisinni S., Cataldo R., Scarpa R.M., Papalia R. New Technologies for Kidney Surgery Planning 3D, Impression, Augmented Reality 3D, Reconstruction: Current Realities and Expectations. *Curr. Urol. Rep.* 2021;22:35. doi: 10.1007/s11934-021-01052-y.
 15. Papanidis S., Spartalis E., Mavriagiannaki E., Ferakis N., Stravodimos K., Tsourouflis G., Dimitroulis D., Nikiteas N.I. Record and Appraisal of Endophytic Tumor Localization Techniques in Minimally Invasive Kidney-Sparing Procedures. A Systematic Review. *Urol. J.* 2022;19:161–178. doi: 10.22037/uj.v19i.7056.
 16. Bertolo R., Autorino R., Fiori C., Amparore D., Checucci E., Mottrie A., Porter J., Haber G.P., Derweesh I., Porpiglia F. Expanding the Indications of Robotic Partial Nephrectomy for Highly Complex Renal Tumors: Urologists' Perception of the Impact of Hyperaccuracy Three-Dimensional Reconstruction. *J. Laparoendosc. Adv. Surg. Tech. A.* 2019;29:233–239. doi: 10.1089/lap.2018.0486.
 17. Campos T.J.F.L., de VFilho F.E., Rocha M.F.H. Assessment of the complexity of renal

- tumors by nephrometry (R.E.N.A.L. score) with CT and MRI images versus 3D reconstruction model images. *Int. Braz. J. Urol.* 2021;47:896–901. doi: 10.1590/s1677-5538.ibju.2020.0930.
18. Lasser M.S., Doscher M., Keehn A., Chernyak V., Garfein E., Ghavamian R. Virtual surgical planning: A novel aid to robot-assisted laparoscopic partial nephrectomy. *J. Endourol.* 2012;26:1372–1379. doi: 10.1089/end.2012.0093.
 19. Fan G., Li J., Li M., Ye M., Pei X., Li F., Zhu S., Weiqin H., Zhou X., Xie Y. Three-Dimensional Physical Model-Assisted Planning and Navigation for Laparoscopic Partial Nephrectomy in Patients with Endophytic Renal Tumors. *Sci. Rep.* 2018;12:582. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-19056-5.
 20. Porpiglia F., Amparore D., Checcucci E., Autorino R., Manfredi M., Iannizzi G., Fiori C., ESUT Research Group Current Use of Three-dimensional Model Technology in Urology: A Road Map for Personalised Surgical Planning. *Eur. Urol. Focus.* 2018;4:652–656. doi: 10.1016/j.euf.2018.09.012.
 21. Dwivedi D.K., Chatzinoff Y., Zhang Y., Yuan Q., Fulkerson M., Chopra R., Brugarolas J., Cadeddu J.A., Kapur P., Pedrosa I. Development of a Patient-specific Tumor Mold Using Magnetic Resonance Imaging and 3-Dimensional Printing Technology for Targeted Tissue Procurement and Radiomics Analysis of Renal Masses. *Urology.* 2018;112:209–214. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2017.08.056.
 22. Bianchi L., Schiavina R., Bortolani B., Cercenelli L., Gaudio C., Carpani G., Rustici A., Droghetti M., Mottaran A., Boschi S., et al. Interpreting nephrometry scores with three-dimensional virtual modelling for better planning of robotic partial nephrectomy and predicting complications. *Urol. Oncol. Semin. Orig. Investig.* 2021;39:836.e1–836.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.urolonc.2021.07.024.
 23. Puliatti S., Eissa A., Checcucci E., Piazza P., Amato M., Ferretti S., Scarcella S., Rivas J.G., Taratkin M., Marenco J., et al. New imaging technologies for robotic kidney cancer surgery. *Asian J. Urol.* 2022;9:253–262. doi: 10.1016/j.ajur.2022.03.008.
 24. Sempels M., Ben Chehida M.A., Meunier P., Waltregny D. Open and Laparoscopic Partial Nephrectomy: Comparison and Validation of Preoperative Scoring Systems, Including PADUA, RENAL, ABC Nephrometric Scores and Perinephric Fat Evaluation with Mayo Adhesive Probability Score. *Res. Rep. Urol.* 2021;13:509–517. doi: 10.2147/RRU.S293864.
 25. Moldovanu C.G., Lebovici A., Buruian M.M. A systematic review of the clinical value and applications of three-dimensional virtual reconstructions in renal tumors. *Med. Pharm. Rep.* 2022;95:11–23. doi: 10.15386/mpr-2129.
 26. Azhar R.A. The influence of 3D renal reconstruction on surgical planning for complex renal tumors: An interactive case-based survey. *Int. Braz. J. Urol.* 2023;49:372–382. doi: 10.1590/s1677-5538.ibju.2022.0623.
 27. Piramide F., Kowalewski K.F., Cacciamani G., Rivero Belenchon I., Taratkin M., Carbonara U., Marchioni M., De Groote R., Knipper S., Pecoraro A. Three-dimensional Model-assisted Minimally Invasive Partial Nephrectomy: A Systematic Review with Meta-analysis of Comparative Studies. *Eur. Urol. Oncol.* 2022;5:640–650. doi: 10.1016/j.euo.2022.09.003.
 28. Partin A.W., Dmochowski R.R., Kavoussi L.R., Peters C.A., Wein A.J., editors. Chapter 57: Campbell-Walsh-Wein Urology. 12th ed. Elsevier; Amsterdam, The Netherlands: 2020.
 29. Checcucci E., Amparore D., Fiori C., Manfredi M., Ivano M., Di Dio M., Niculescu G., Piramide F., Cattaneo G., Piazzolla P., et al. 3D imaging applications for robotic urologic surgery: An ESUT YAUWP review. *World J. Urol.* 2020;38:869–881. doi: 10.1007/s00345-019-02922-4.
 30. Shirk J.D., Thiel D.D., Wallen E.M., Linehan J.M., White W.M., Badani K.K., Porter J.R. Effect of 3-Dimensional Virtual Reality Models for Surgical Planning of Robotic-Assisted

- Partial Nephrectomy on Surgical Outcomes: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Netw. Open.* 2019;2:e1911598. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.11598.
31. Checcucci E., Amparore D., Fiori C., Manfredi M., Ivano M. 3D imaging applications for robotic urologic surgery: An ESUT YAUWP review. *World J. Urol.* 2020;38:869–881.
 32. Thakker P.U., O'Rourke T., Jr., Hemal A.K. Technologic advances in robot-assisted nephron sparing surgery: A narrative review. *Transl. Androl. Urol.* 2023;12:1184–1198.
 33. Tang G., Liu H., Wang X., Yao H., Wang D., Sun F., Bao X., Zhou Z., Wang J., Wu J. The role of three-dimensional model in preoperative communication before partial nephrectomy and postoperative management. *Asia Pac. J. Oncol. Nurs.* 2023;10:100222. doi: 10.1016/j.apjon.2023.100222.
 34. Wake N., Rosenkrantz A.B., Huang R., Park K.U., Wysock J.S., Taneja S.S., Huang W.C., Sodickson D.K., Chandarana H. Patient-specific 3D printed and augmented reality kidney and prostate cancer models: Impact on patient education. *3D Print Med.* 2019;5:4. doi: 10.1186/s41205-019-0041-3.
 35. Kwon Kim J., Ryu H., Kim M., Kwon E.K., Lee H., Joon Park S., Byun S.S. Personalised three-dimensional printed transparent kidney model for robot-assisted partial nephrectomy in patients with complex renal tumours (R.E.N.A.L. nephrometry score \geq 7): A prospective case-matched study. *BJU Int.* 2021;127:567–574. doi: 10.1111/bju.15275.
 36. Teishima J., Takayama Y., Iwaguro S., Hayashi T., Inoue S., Hieda K., Shinmei S., Kato R., Mita K., Matsubara A. Usefulness of personalized three-dimensional printed model on the satisfaction of preoperative education for patients undergoing robot-assisted partial nephrectomy and their families. *Int. Urol. Nephrol.* 2018;50:1061–1066. doi: 10.1007/s11255-018-1881-2.
 37. Kyung Y.S., Kim N., Jeong I.G., Hong J.H., Kim C.S. Application of 3-D printed kidney model in partial nephrectomy for predicting surgical outcomes: A feasibility study. *Clin. Genitourin. Cancer.* 2019;17:e878–e884. doi: 10.1016/j.clgc.2019.05.024.
 38. Silberstein J.L., Maddox M.M., Dorsey P., Feibus A., Thomas R., Lee B.R. Physical models of renal malignancies using standard cross-sectional imaging and 3-dimensional printers: A pilot study. *Urology.* 2014;84:268–273. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2014.03.042.
 39. Lupulescu C., Sun Z. A Systematic Review of the Clinical Value and Applications of Three-Dimensional Printing in Renal Surgery. *J. Clin. Med.* 2019;8:990. doi: 10.3390/jcm8070990.
 40. Maddox M.M., Feibus A., Liu J., Wang J., Thomas R., Silberstein J.L. 3D-printed soft-tissue physical models of renal malignancies for individualized surgical simulation: A feasibility study. *J. Robot Surg.* 2018;12:27–33. doi: 10.1007/s11701-017-0680-6.
 41. Wang S., Frisbie J., Keepers Z., Bolten Z., Hevaganinge A., Boctor E., Leonard S., Tokuda J., Krieger A., Siddiqui M.M. The Use of Three-dimensional Visualization Techniques for Prostate Procedures: A Systematic Review. *Eur. Urol. Focus.* 2021;7:1274–1286. doi: 10.1016/j.euf.2020.08.002.
 42. Fan G., Meng Y., Zhu S., Ye M., Li M., Li F., Ye Y., Liu Z., Weiqin H., Xie Y. Three-dimensional printing for laparoscopic partial nephrectomy in patients with renal tumours. *J. Int. Med. Res.* 2019;47:4324–4332. doi: 10.1177/0300060519862058.
 43. de Rooij M., Hamoen E.H., Witjes J.A., Barentsz J.O., Rovers M.M. Accuracy of Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Local Staging of Prostate Cancer: A Diagnostic Meta-Analysis. *Eur. Urol.* 2016;70:233–245. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2015.07.029.
 44. Makary J., van Diepen D.C., Arianayagam R., McClintock G., Fallot J., Leslie S., Thanigasalam R. The evolution of image guidance in robotic-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy (RALP): A glimpse into the future. *J. Robot. Surg.* 2022;16:765–774. doi: 10.1007/s11701-021-01305-5.